Evaluation of Microscopy, Culture and PCR Assay in the Diagnosis of Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis
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Extra pulmonary tuberculosis presents a diagnostic dilemma for both physicians as well as for clinical microbiologists. The laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis ranges from simple microscopy, culture to complex molecular assays. To evaluate the sensitivity, specificity and turnaround time of microscopy, culture and PCR in the diagnosis of Extrapulmonary tuberculosis & to evaluate the use of PCR in the early diagnosis of Extrapulmonary tuberculosis. A total of 71 samples patients with strong clinical suspicion of extra-pulmonary tuberculosis were processed and evaluated by ZN staining, fluorescent microscopy, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture and PCR. The positivity rates by microscopy, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture and PCR were 11.26%, 8.45%, 14.08% and 14.08% respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of both staining methods was 50%, 92.3%, 37.5% and 95.2% respectively. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of BacT Alert culture was 83.3%, 92.3%, 50% and 98.4% respectively. The recovery rate was higher by BacT Alert culture (90.9%) compared to LJ culture (63.63%). The mean turnaround time for culture positivity was 36.3 days with LJ culture and 14.6 days with BacT Alert culture. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of PCR assay was 66.66%, 90.76%, 40% and 96.72% respectively. PCR has high sensitivity, specificity, substantial level of agreement with BacT Alert culture and shorter turnaround time. Therefore, use of PCR in combination with other diagnostic modalities is a useful tool to detect additional EPTB cases which may be missed otherwise.
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Tuberculosis is one of the leading infectious diseases in the world and remains a major public health problem causing considerable morbidity and mortality.¹,² EPTB constitutes about 15-20% of all TB cases.³ With HIV pandemic, the EPTB scenario is further complicated, as EPTB constitutes more than 50% of all cases of TB in HIV positive patients.⁴

The definitive & rapid diagnosis of EPTB is challenging since conventional techniques have limitations. The major pitfalls in the diagnosis of EPTB are atypical clinical presentations resulting in delay or deprivation of treatment & the lack of accurate diagnostic resources.⁵ This often leads to empirical treatment based on clinical grounds without pathological and/or bacteriological confirmation, leading to over-diagnosis and unnecessary treatment.⁶

Delayed diagnosis results in increasing morbidity, mortality and cost to the health care system. The outcome for the patient could be improved if rapid, simple & reliable tests are available.⁷ There are several methods are available for the laboratory diagnosis of tuberculosis ranging from simple microscopy to complex molecular biological techniques.⁸ The PCR assays targets...
various genes for rapid detection of *M. tuberculosis* complex with encouraging results. The aim of this study was to evaluate the sensitivity, specificity and turnaround time of microscopy, culture and PCR in the diagnosis of Extrapulmonary tuberculosis and to evaluate the use of PCR in the early diagnosis of Extrapulmonary tuberculosis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Patients with strong clinical suspicion of extra-pulmonary tuberculosis willing to participate after informed consent were included in the study. The cases already on Anti-tubercular therapy or had been confirmed as having tuberculosis was excluded from study.

A total of 71 samples were included in our study & were processed and evaluated by ZN staining, fluorescent microscopy, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture and PCR. The clinical specimens included in this study were pus (15), endometrial biopsy (14), lymph node aspirate (10), peritoneal fluid (7), tissue (5), CSF (5), synovial fluid (3), urine (3) as shown in Table 1.

**Processing of clinical samples**

Sterile body fluids were centrifuged, where as pus, urine specimen were digested & decontaminated by using Modified Petroff’s (4% NaOH) method. Tissue & lymphnode aspirates were homogenized using sterile tissue homogenizer. The Sediment thus obtained were subjected to ZN staining, fluorescent microscopy, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture and PCR.

Auramine rhodamine stain was used in fluorescent microscopy, In house prepared Lowenstein Jensen medium were used for solid culture media. and for liquid culture method, BacT/Alert MP culture media (BioMerieux,) were used by following manufacturer’s instructions.

**Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Extraction of DNA, amplification & detection were done in physically separate areas.

The DNA was extracted by spin column method (Qiagen tissue extraction kit)DNA was extracted from 71 clinical samples, *M. tuberculosis* standard strain (H37RV). Each step of the extraction protocol was performed inside bio safety cabinet, using protected tips and dedicated pipettes at room temperature.

**PCR amplification of DNA**

The primers used for the assay were based on the published sequence. The species specific primer amplified a 245 base pair nucleotide sequence in IS 6110 present in strains of the *M. tuberculosis*

The sequences of the species specific primers were: Forward: 5’ CGT GAG GGC ATC GAG GTG GC 3’
Reverse: 5’ GCG TAG GCG TCG GTG ACA AA 3’

The conditions were:
- Initial delay: 94°C for 5 minutes.
- 94°C for 2 minutes
- 68°C for 2 minutes
- 72°C for 2 minutes
- Final delay: 72°C for 5 minutes

Detection of Amplification products: PCR products were detected on 1.5% agarose gel in 1X TE buffer containing ethidium bromide at 10µg/ml concentration under ultra violet illumination.

**RESULTS**

The positivity rates by microscopy, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture and PCR were 11.26%, 8.45%, 14.08% and 14.08% respectively as shown in Table 2.

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of both staining methods was 50%, 92.3%, 37.5% and 95.2% respectively as shown in Table 3. The mean turnaround time for culture positivity was 36.3 days with LJ culture (range 5 to 49 days) and 14.6 days with BacT Alert culture (range 4 to 21 days). The use of BacT Alert culture has reduced the mean detection time by 2.5 times when compared to LJ culture.

The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of BacT Alert culture was 83.3%, 92.3%, 50% and 98.4% respectively as shown in Table 3. BacT Alert culture was positive in additional 5 samples when compared to LJ culture. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of PCR assay was 66.66%, 90.76%, 40% and 96.72% respectively.
The mean turnaround time for ZN staining, fluorescent staining, LJ culture, BacT Alert culture & PCR are 40 minutes, 30 minutes, 36.3 days, 14.6 days & 5 hours respectively as shown in Table 4.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was done using ‘SPSS 22’ software and the sensitivities, specificities, positive predictive values, negative predictive values were calculated considering LJ culture as gold standard. Cohen’s kappa value was also obtained to assess the reproducibility and level of agreement between the PCR assay and other diagnostic tests employed.

In our study, the level agreement between BacT Alert and PCR was ‘substantial’ with a kappa value of 0.767 whereas ‘moderate’ level of agreement between LJ culture and PCR with kappa value of 0.441.

**DISCUSSION**

Tuberculosis remains a major health problem in the developing countries in the world especially in India. In clinical practice, the diagnosis of EPTB is difficult because of its non-specific, misleading and variety of clinical manifestations. The microscopy and culture are still the methods of choice for the diagnosis of tuberculosis in most of the microbiological laboratories.

The sensitivity of microscopy and culture on LJ media are low in EPTB owing to its paucibacillary nature. Cultivation of M. tuberculosis is considered as the gold standard in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. This gold standard lacks sensitivity and is negative in specimens from majority of paucibacillary cases. Recent introduction of liquid culture has reduced the time taken for culture
positivity and also increased the rate of isolation of *M. tuberculosis*. The role of PCR in early diagnosis of EPTB has been evaluated with the hope of shortening the time required for diagnosis of EPTB.13,14.

Out of 71 samples processed, 16 samples (22.5%) were positive by one or more methods employed for the detection of acid fast bacilli. Microscopy showed the positivity of 11.26% by both the methods (ZN and fluorescent staining method). A study done by Sudhindra KS *et al* also reported equal positivity rates of ZN and fluorescent staining method 8. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of both ZN staining and fluorescent staining were 50%, 92.3%, 37.5% and 95.2% respectively which is much higher when compared to studies done by others.

Among 8 cases which were positive by ZN staining and fluorescent microscopy, 2 were also positive by both culture methods and PCR.

Our study showed least positivity rate by LJ culture i.e. 8.45%. The low positivity rate may be attributed to paucibacillary nature of the disease and the sampled site may not represent the site of active infection13,14,15,16. Low positivity rates were also reported by Chhina D *et al* (2.1%)17, Ajantha GS *et al* (5%)18, Sharma K *et al* (11.3%)19 and Siddiqui MAM *et al* (15%)20.

BacT Alert had positivity rate of 14.08% which is much higher than reported by Angeby Kak *et al* (3.44%)21, Carricaga A *et al* (4.07%)22 and Piersimoni C *et al* (7.07%)23. PCR was positive in 10 samples accounting for 14.08% of positivity rate. In studies by Hajia M *et al*12, Pednekar SN *et al*24 and Chawla K *et al*25 reported higher positivity rates of 41%, 53% and 74% respectively.

One lymph node aspirate was positive by BacT Alert and PCR but failed to grow on LJ media. This could be due to non uniform distribution of bacilli in the aliquots apportioned for the diagnostic tests26,27. Two samples were PCR negative but culture positive and later diagnosed as Non tuberculous mycobacteria (NTM). Three samples were negative by both culture methods and PCR. The reason for smear positive but culture and PCR negative could be the availability of small quantity of sample for processing.

In our study sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) of BacT Alert culture was 83.3%, 92.3%, 50% and 98.4% respectively which
correlates with study by Martinez MS et al. who reported sensitivity of 87.7% and specificity of 99.2%.

The percentage of isolation of *M. tuberculosis* by BacT Alert and LJ culture from synovial fluid was 33.3%, whereas in lymph node it was 30% by BacT Alert compared to 20% by LJ culture. In pleural fluid, percentage of isolation by BacT Alert was 28.57% whereas isolation by LJ was none; however, in pus samples, isolation percentage was higher by LJ culture (20%) compared to BacT Alert (13.33%). Endometrial biopsy, peritoneal fluid, tissue samples, CSF and urine samples did not yield growth of *M. tuberculosis*. Since the number of synovial fluid samples processed were less, the isolation percentage by both culture methods in present study is high. In study by Ghadage et al. has reported maximum isolation of *M. tuberculosis* by LJ culture in pus (33%), followed by pleural fluid (26.3%), fine needle aspiration biopsy (25%) and CSF (12.5%).

BacT Alert culture was positive in additional 5 samples when compared to LJ culture. The recovery rate was higher by BacT Alert culture (90.9%) compared to LJ culture (63.63%). Though BacT Alert had higher recovery rate, in one case of suspected Pott’s spine only LJ culture was positive. This underlines the need to use the combination of liquid and solid media especially in the diagnosis of EPTB.

PCR was positive in 10 samples. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of PCR assay was 66.66%, 90.76%, 40% and 96.72% respectively. These results are comparable to studies by Oberoi A et al. and Siddqui MAM et al.

PCR was positive in 2 samples (endometrial biopsy, lymph node aspirate) where both the staining techniques and both the culture methods were negative. This could be due to paucibacillary nature and high sensitivity of PCR.

However in one case of suspected Pott’s spine, only LJ culture was positive but other methods failed to detect *M. tuberculosis*. This false negative PCR result could be due to nonuniform distribution of bacilli in the aliquots apportioned for the diagnostic tests, ineffective extraction of DNA or the presence of PCR inhibitors.

The mean turnaround time for ZN staining was 40 minutes as compared to fluorescent staining (30 minutes). The mean turnaround time for culture positivity was 36.3 days with LJ culture (range 5 to 49 days) and 14.6 days with BacT Alert culture (range 4 to 21 days). The use of BacT Alert culture has reduced the mean detection time by 2.5 times when compared to LJ culture. PCR mean detection time was 5 hours. Thus, PCR reduces detection time when compared with culture. PCR provides additional information when positive microscopy results are combined with PCR results and it also differentiates *M. tuberculosis* from NMT.

The Cohen’s kappa statistics was applied to assess the reproducibility and the level of agreement between the PCR assay and other diagnostic tests. In our study, the level agreement between BacT ALERT and PCR was ‘substantial’ with a kappa value of 0.767 whereas ‘moderate’ level of agreement between LJ culture and PCR with kappa value of 0.441.

The ability of PCR to detect even few organism from clinical sample makes it very attractive diagnostic tool in the diagnosis of EPTB. In the present study, PCR had sensitivity of 66.7%, specificity of 90.76% and short detection time (5 hours). The sensitivity of PCR from clinical samples reported from different studies varies between 55% and 90%, which is much higher when compared to any other test used in the diagnosis of EPTB. This makes PCR a valuable screening test, especially when limitations of conventional diagnostic modalities have negative impact on patient care. Though PCR has been reported to have high sensitivity and specificity, it has few drawbacks. It is very expensive, needs expertise and proper standardization and risk of false negative and false positive results.

**CONCLUSION**

PCR has high sensitivity, specificity, substantial level of agreement with BacT ALERT culture and shorter turnaround time; hence in the era of evidence based clinical practice, it adds meaningful evidence to the results of conventional method employed in the diagnosis of EPTB, to rule-in or rule-out the disease. Therefore, use of PCR in combination with other diagnostic modalities helps to provide maximum information to clinicians in the diagnosis of EPTB.
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