Optimization and Scale-up of Extracellular β-glucan Production by *Ophiocordyceps dipterigena* BCC 2073 in Low-Cost Media
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The optimization of β-glucan production by *Ophiocordyceps dipterigena* BCC 2073 was carried out. For the three-step optimization performed in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks, at the first step, the maximum β-glucan production of 16.48±0.60 g/L was obtained using molasses and malt extract. During the second step, we sought to find an alternative carbon source; the highest β-glucan production of 16.96±1.33 g/L was obtained using yeast extract and hydrolyzed cassava starch. The third step involved the optimization of nitrogen sources, and the highest β-glucan content (18.16±0.15 g/L) was obtained with yeast extract. To reduce the use of yeast extract for β-glucan production, a fractional factorial design at 2 levels was applied after the three-step optimization to reduce the concentration of yeast extract by combination with other suitable inorganic nitrogen sources. The medium consisting of 2.5 g/L yeast extract as a sole nitrogen source yielded 24.65±2.21 g/L β-glucan production. At the last step of optimization, the highest β-glucan production of 35.77±3.01 g/L was obtained in the medium consisting of 68.8 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch, 2.62 g/L molasses and 2.5 g/L yeast extract using central composite design. The evaluation of β-glucan production was carried out in a 5-L bioreactor, and 76.87±0.96 g/L of material was obtained.
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β-glucans are homopolysaccharides that are composed of glucose and β-glycosidic bond linkages. They are usually found in a variety of species, including in the cell wall and endosperm of plants¹; baker’s and brewer’s yeasts of the *Saccharomyces* genus²; various species of mushrooms such as shiitake (*Lentinus edodes*)³, maitake (*Grifola frondosa*)⁴ and reishi (*Ganoderma lucidum*)⁵; fungi such as Schizophyllum (*Schizophyllum commune*)⁶, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, *Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Cordyceps*; bacteria such as *Pneumocystis carinii*; etc. The structure of β-glucans from different sources can vary in their β-glucan structures. β-glucan in baker’s yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) is found in the cell wall and is composed of β-1,3-, β-1,6- and heterogeneous groups of glucose polymers; it consists of a backbone of β-(1,3)-linked β-D-glucopyranosyl units with β-(1,6)-linked side chains of unstable distribution and length¹¹. Differences in structure can result in challenges...
for extraction and altered biological activities\(^\text{12}\). Glucans of high molecular weight can activate leukocytes and stimulate phagocytic, cytotoxic, and antimicrobial activities as well as the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). In contrast, low-molecular weight glucans demonstrate reduced cellular effects, and very short glucans are considered to be inactive\(^\text{13}\). \(\beta\)-glucan can be used in many applications such as in the pharmaceutical\(^\text{14, 15}\), medical, food and petroleum industries\(^\text{16}\). Most \(\beta\)-glucans are cellular components, which require complicated downstream and purification processes, resulting in high cost. Only a few reports of large-scale extracellular \(\beta\)-glucan production exist, and this study is the first report of high extracellular \(\beta\)-glucan production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 in low-cost media and in large-scale production.

\(\beta\)-glucan produced by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 is an extracellular product and is a potent wound-dressing material due to its appropriate biological and physiological properties. The material is biocompatible and noncytotoxic, with no oral toxicity. Both \(\beta\)-glucan and the fungal mycelium are strong inducers of interleukin-8 (IL-8), a cytokine responsible for enhancing the wound healing process\(^\text{14, 15}\). The material is also proven to function as a prebiotic in poultry feed\(^\text{17}\). The exobiopolymer is composed of a \((1\rightarrow3)\)-\(\beta\)-D-glucan backbone, substituted at O-6 with side chains of \((1\rightarrow6)\)-\(\beta\)-D-pyranosyl units, and contains 1.86% arabinose, 29.08% mannose, 25.86% galactose and 43.05% glucose (14, 15). The molecular weight of this \(\beta\)-glucan is in the range of \(6.3 \times 10^5\)–\(7.7 \times 10^5\) Da, and the highest reported production yield (41.2 g/L) thus far was obtained using glucose and malt extract\(^\text{19}\). The generated \(\beta\)-glucan was resistant to hydrolysis by both hydrochloric acid and porcine pancreatic alpha-amylase\(^\text{19}\). \(\beta\)-glucan from \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 is an extracellular major product that is secreted out into the fermentation medium, which enables simpler downstream purification processes. Hence, this study aimed to optimize the use of low-cost media, followed by scale-up in a 5-L bioreactor.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Inoculum preparation**

\textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 was grown initially on potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Difco, Becton, Dickinson and company, MD, USA) at 25 °C for 5–7 days. An agar block (1 cm³) containing the growing culture was cut into small pieces and transferred to 25 mL of potato dextrose broth (PDB) (Difco, Becton, Dickinson and company, MD, USA) in a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask. This liquid seed culture was incubated for 5–7 days at 25 °C on a rotary shaker at a shaking speed of 200 rpm (New Brunswick, NJ, USA).

**Cassava starch hydrolysis**

The cassava starch used in this study was from the local cassava starch company in Thailand, and two commercial enzymes amylase (GC147) and glucoamylase (GC358; Genencor, Danisco US Inc., USA) were used to hydrolyze a 50 % W/V solution of cassava starch in a 4-L (2 kg of cassava starch: 2 L of distilled water) working volume in a 5-L of fermentor. A volume of 400 µL of GC147 was added to 2 L of preheated distilled water (90 °C), incubated for 4 h at 85 °C and agitated at a speed of 300 rpm. Then, the temperature was reduced to 65 °C, and 400 µL of GC358 was then added to the mixture, which was incubated for 4 h at 65 °C and agitated at a speed of 300 rpm. The sugar concentration after hydrolysis was then analyzed by HPLC.

**Optimization of \(\beta\)-glucan production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks**

In this experiment, we first used the concentrations of 60 g/L of carbon source and 14 g/L of nitrogen source reported by Kocharin \textit{et al.} (18). The homogenized mixture of liquid seed culture grown in PDB medium and 10 % (v/v) seed culture of \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 was transferred into a 250-mL flask containing 50 mL of production media in duplicate (60 g/L carbon source, 14 g/L nitrogen source, 0.5 g/L KH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 0.2 g/L K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\), 0.2 g/L Mg\((\text{SO}_4)\)_2•7H\(_2\)O, 0.14 g/L MnSO\(_4•\text{H}_2\)O, 1 mL/L trace element solution (trace elements consisted of 14.3 g/L ZnSO\(_4•\text{H}_2\)O, 2.5 g/L CuSO\(_4•5\text{H}_2\)O, 0.5 g/L NiCl\(_2•6\text{H}_2\)O and 13.8 g/L FeSO\(_4•\text{H}_2\)O) and 1 mL/L vitamin solution (Blackmores, NSW, Australia). In the first step, the carbon sources tested were glucose, hydrolyzed cassava starch and molasses, whereas the nitrogen sources tested were malt extract, yeast extract, ammonium dihydrogenphosphate, diammonium hydrogen phosphate, ammonium nitrate, diammonium sulfate.
and diammonium hydrogen citrate. The cultures were then incubated for 7 days at 25 °C on a rotary shaker at a shaking speed of 200 rpm (New Brunswick, NJ, USA). The 60 g/L concentration for hydrolyzed cassava starch was calculated from its glucose composition, and 1 L of hydrolyzed cassava starch contained 450 g of glucose. The 60 g/L molasses concentration was calculated from its glucose, sucrose, fructose composition, and 1 L of molasses contained 86 g of glucose, 263 g of sucrose, and 100 g of fructose.

In the second optimization step, the sugars in the malt extract were supplemented with 5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose, and 6.5 g/L of yeast extract was used as the sole nitrogen source, with either molasses or hydrolyzed cassava starch as the carbon source.

In the 3rd step, the selected carbon source of hydrolyzed cassava starch was tested in combination with 6 nitrogen sources (yeast extract, NH4H2PO4, (NH4)2HPO4, NH4NO3, (NH4)2SO4, and (NH4)2HC6H5O7) with the 7.5 g/L sugar supplement (5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose) at a reduced nitrogen source concentration (6.5 g/L).

Optimization of \( \beta \)-glucan production by \( O. \) dipterigena BCC 2073 in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks using two-level fractional factorial design

In this experiment, the use of a lower concentration of yeast extract in combination with an inorganic nitrogen source (yeast extract, \( \text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{HPO}_4 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4 \), and \( \text{NH}_4\text{HC}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \)) with the 7.5 g/L sugar supplement (5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose, and 0.17 g/L fructose) was studied. The best nitrogen source in the previous experiment was yeast extract, but, due to its high cost, we sought to minimize the amount of yeast extract added using two-level fractional factorial design. A two-level fractional factorial design of \( 2^n-1 \) was applied for the 6 selected factors (g/L) of yeast extract, \( \text{NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{HPO}_4 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{SO}_4 \), \( \text{NH}_4\text{HC}_6\text{H}_5\text{O}_7 \) in the presence of 60 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch and 7.5 g/L supplemented sugar (5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose, and 0.17 g/L fructose).

Optimization of \( \beta \)-glucan production by \( O. \) dipterigena BCC 2073 in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks using a central composite design

Molasses was then substituted by 3 sugars (glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose), and maltose was substituted by 5.88 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch. The central composite design was applied at the last step of optimization to maximize \( \beta \)-glucan production. The actual design consisted of -1 actual, +1 coded (i.e., 2.5, 6.5 g/L yeast extract; 6, 12 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch and 1.5, 7.5 mL/L molasses). Yeast extract, hydrolyzed cassava starch and molasses were selected as the most influential factors. All results were analyzed with Design Expert software (Version 7.0.b1.1, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, USA).

Evaluation of \( \beta \)-glucan production by \( O. \) dipterigena BCC 2073 in a 5-L fermentor

A culture of \( O. \) dipterigena BCC 2073 was maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA). Fifty milliliters of potato dextrose broth (PDB) in a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask was used for inoculum preparation. The medium used in a 5-L fermentor consisted of 2.5 g/L yeast extract, 68.98 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch, 2.62 g/L molasses, 0.5 g/L \( \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4 \), 0.2 g/L \( \text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4 \), 0.2 g/L \( \text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O} \), 0.14 g/L \( \text{MnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \), 1 mL/L trace element solution (trace elements consisted of 14.3 g/L \( \text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \), 2.5 g/L \( \text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \), 0.5 g/L \( \text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \) and 13.8 g/L \( \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and 1 mL/L vitamin solution (Blackmores, NSW, Australia). The culture was agitated at 300 rpm and aerated at 1 vvm, but pH was not controlled. During cultivation, fermentation broths were collected at 0 to 10 days.

Biomass determination

Approximately 30 mL of culture broth was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min and supernatant was removed. Fresh mycelium was then resuspended in 20 to 30 mL of normal saline and filtered through a Whatman No.1 filter paper. The filter cake was washed with distilled water and dried at 105–110 °C for 24 to 48 h until a stable weight was achieved. Culture filtrate was subjected to sugar analysis and EPS extraction.

\( \beta \)-glucan purification

The culture filtrate was mixed with four volumes of 95% ethanol, stirred vigorously for 10 to 15 min and supernatant was removed. Fresh mycelium was then resuspended in 20 to 30 mL of normal saline and filtered through a Whatman No.1 filter paper. The filter cake was washed with distilled water and dried at 105–110 °C for 24 to 48 h until a stable weight was achieved. Culture filtrate was subjected to sugar analysis and EPS extraction.
distilled water for 24 h and lyophilized.

Sugar determination

For the measurement of the sugar content (glucose, fructose, sucrose and maltose), the supernatant was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min and filtered through 0.22-µm filter paper. The filtrate was subjected to HPLC analysis using a Sugar-Pak column (Waters, MA, USA) at 90 °C, with water as the mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.6 mL·min⁻¹. Sugars were detected refractometrically (Waters 410 Differential Refractometer Detector, Millipore Corp., Milford, MA, USA).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three-step optimization of media for β-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks

For the first optimization step, we sought to select optimal carbon and nitrogen sources at the concentrations of 60 g/L and 14 g/L, respectively. Two other alternative carbon sources (molasses and hydrolyzed cassava starch) were investigated in this study. Six alternative nitrogen sources (yeast extract, NH₄H₂PO₄, NH₄NO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄, (NH₄)₂HC₆H₅O₇) were evaluated in addition to malt extract (Table I). The maximum β-glucan production of 16.48±0.60 g/L was obtained using molasses and malt extract, while the maximum biomass of 16.32±3.96 g/L was obtained with hydrolyzed cassava starch and NH₄H₂PO₄. A β-glucan production yield of 15.69±0.01 g/L was obtained using hydrolyzed cassava starch as the sole carbon source and malt extract as the sole nitrogen source. Alternative carbon sources for β-glucan production by other fungi in previous studies using molasses, potato starch waste hydrolysate, soy molasses and sugar cane have been found to result in lower yields. The optimization of β-glucan production by fungi given inorganic and organic nitrogen sources of peptone, yeast extract, malt extract soybean powder, bran, ammonium sulfate, ammonium phosphate, ammonium tartrate, ammonium chloride, ammonium nitrate sulfate ammonium, urea, glycine and glutamic acid have also been reported. Furthermore, *Grifola frondosa* was observed to stimulate enzyme metabolism of soy molasses and sugar cane responsible for β-glucan production. *Ganoderma lucidum* exhibited α-phosphoglucomutase activity that affected β-glucan accumulation by influencing flux from glucose 6-phosphate to β-glucan during the synthesis process. In this study, the alternative carbon sources of molasses and hydrolyzed cassava starch were investigated, and the best alternative carbon for β-glucan production was molasses (16.48±0.60 g/L). The best nitrogen source was malt extract, but its use resulted in β-glucan of a brownish color. This study demonstrated that hydrolyzed cassava starch and NH₄H₂PO₄ enhanced biomass production of *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 (16.32±3.96 g/L). A similar result has been reported for β-glucan production by *Lyophyllum decastes*, with the study authors demonstrating that various carbon sources differentially affected mycelial growth and β-glucan production; entomopathogenic fungi and mushrooms can grow on a wide range of carbon sources. The results indicated that malt extract was the best nitrogen source for β-glucan, which may have been because malt extract is composed of maltose, glucose, sucrose, and fructose, all of which can serve as extra carbon sources for fungal growth and β-glucan production. However, the maximum yields of mycelium and β-glucan were dependent on the carbon source and varied from species to species. Exopolysaccharides from mushrooms contain a wide variety of types of sugars of differing molecular weights.

For the second optimization step, although malt extract was found to be the best nitrogen source for growth and β-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073, malt extract is a high-cost nitrogen source, so this optimization step was performed using yeast extract as a sole nitrogen source to replace malt extract. Many studies have been performed using organic nitrogen sources for mycelial growth and exobiopolymer production by fungi and mushrooms. In this experiment, the nitrogen sources were reduced from 14 to 6.5 g/L and supplemented with 7.5 g/L of sugars present in malt extract (maltose 5.88 g/L, glucose 1.26 g/L, sucrose 0.14 g/L and fructose 0.17 g/L). Approximately 60 g/L of molasses or hydrolyzed cassava starch was used as the carbon source. The results comparing β-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 fed molasses or hydrolyzed cassava starch were analyzed and are shown in...
Table 1. β-glucan production by *Ophiocordyceps dipterigena* BCC 2073 using different carbon and nitrogen sources in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (50 mL of medium).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Nitrogen source</th>
<th>β-glucan (g/L)</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (control)</td>
<td>Malt extract (control)</td>
<td>19.66±1.28</td>
<td>6.67±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses</td>
<td>Malt extract</td>
<td>16.48±0.60</td>
<td>5.04±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>1.26±0.28</td>
<td>4.32±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄H₂PO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.90±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HPO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.32±0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>1.15±0.03</td>
<td>6.61±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
<td>1.81±0.11</td>
<td>5.88±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HC₆H₅O₇</td>
<td>1.63±0.24</td>
<td>5.07±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolyzed cassava starch</td>
<td>Malt extract</td>
<td>15.69±0.01</td>
<td>4.01±2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>5.81±0.00</td>
<td>8.82±1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄H₂PO₄</td>
<td>6.08±0.03</td>
<td>16.32±3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HPO₄</td>
<td>3.61±0.03</td>
<td>7.74±1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>4.99±1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
<td>1.89±0.00</td>
<td>6.31±2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HC₆H₅O₇</td>
<td>5.3±0.01</td>
<td>11.45±4.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Optimization of the second step in β-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 using different carbon sources supplemented with extra sugars in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (50 mL of medium).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Nitrogen source</th>
<th>β-glucan (g/L)</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (control)</td>
<td>Malt extract (control)</td>
<td>14.79±0.62</td>
<td>4.68±0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molasses*60 g/L</td>
<td>Yeast extract 6.5 g/L</td>
<td>10.81±0.21</td>
<td>15.04±0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolyzed cassava starch*60 g/L</td>
<td>Yeast extract 6.5 g/L</td>
<td>16.96±1.33</td>
<td>30.41±1.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Supplemented sugar: 5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose

Table 3. Third optimization of β-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 using different nitrogen sources in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (50 mL of medium)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon source</th>
<th>Nitrogen source</th>
<th>β-glucan (g/L)</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (control)</td>
<td>Malt extract (control)</td>
<td>11.61±0.24</td>
<td>3.34±0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrolyzed* cassava starch 60 g/L</td>
<td>Yeast extract</td>
<td>18.16±0.15</td>
<td>17.19±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄H₂PO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.23±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HPO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27.23±0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NH₄NO₃</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23.32±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂SO₄</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.89±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NH₄)₂HC₆H₅O₇</td>
<td>10.62±0.02</td>
<td>24.79±0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Supplemented sugar: 5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose

Table 2. The highest β-glucan production of 16.96±1.33 g/L was obtained using yeast extract and hydrolyzed cassava starch. β-glucan production yields of 14.79±0.62 g/L and 10.81±0.21 g/L were obtained with glucose (control) and molasses, respectively. The biomass production...
yields of 30.41±1.49, 15.04±0.38 and 4.68±0.94 g/L were obtained for hydrolyzed cassava starch, molasses and glucose, respectively. Hence, hydrolyzed cassava starch was the best alternative carbon source for β-glucan biosynthesis by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073, which might be because glucose present in hydrolyzed cassava starch is the main precursor of β-glucan production. Although, yeast extract, hydrolyzed cassava starch and other four sugars (maltose 5.88 g/L, glucose 1.26 g/L, sucrose 0.14 g/L and fructose 0.17 g/L) resulted in the highest β-glucan production, yeast extract is not a low-cost nitrogen source. Thus, the third optimization step investigated the use of lower cost nitrogen sources. In the comparison of organic and inorganic nitrogen sources for the selection of low-cost nitrogen sources, hydrolyzed cassava starch supplemented with four additional sugars were used as the carbon source. The production media were composed of 60 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch, 6.5 g/L nitrogen source (yeast extract, NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_2$HPO$_4$, NH$_4$NO$_3$),

### Table 4. β-glucan and biomass production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 using a two-level fractional factorial design with 6 factors ($2^{6-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>β-glucan (g/L)</th>
<th>Biomass (g/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>24.65±2.21</td>
<td>30.29±3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.43±0.59</td>
<td>25.32±1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.72±0.18</td>
<td>23.21±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20.67±0.76</td>
<td>20.67±1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.29±0.20</td>
<td>21.48±0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.50±0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.66±0.21</td>
<td>21.44±1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.94±0.51</td>
<td>23.64±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.50±0.44</td>
<td>18.88±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.61±0.20</td>
<td>22.90±0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.56±0.10</td>
<td>24.87±2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.17±0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.11±0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.45±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.66±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.04±0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.43±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.8±0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.98±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.59±0.32</td>
<td>21.64±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.99±1.32</td>
<td>21.64±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.96±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.14±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.07±3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.49±0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 34  | 3.75    | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 0   | 4.5 | 0.49±0.84     | 26.22±0.68   |

A: ammonium dihydrogenphosphate, B: diammonium hydrogen phosphate, C: ammonium nitrate, D: diammonium sulfate, E: diammonium hydrogen citrate, F: yeast extract

---

60 g/L glucose, 14 g/L malt extract

β-glucan production by \textit{Ophiocordyceps dipterigena} BCC 2073, and we also found that inorganic nitrogen sources of (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, or (NH$_4$)$_2$HC$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, yielded β-glucan production at 10.62±0.02 g/L, while biomass production values of 27.23±0.15, 24.79±0.56, 23.32±0.12, 17.19±0.28, 6.89±0.10, 6.13±0.08 and 3.34±0.06 g/L were obtained using NH$_4$H$_2$PO$_4$, (NH$_4$)$_2$HC$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, or (NH$_4$)$_2$HC$_6$H$_5$O$_7$, four extra sugars (5.88 g/L maltose, 1.26 g/L glucose, 0.14 g/L sucrose and 0.17 g/L fructose). The highest β-glucan yield of 18.16±0.15 g/L was obtained with yeast extract (Table 3). Yeast extract favored β-glucan production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073,

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\hline
Source & Sum of Squares & df & Mean Square & F-Value & Probability (p) > F \\
\hline
Model* & 1311.64 & 32 & 40.989 & 50.89 & < 0.0001 \\
Pure Error & 27.38 & 34 & 0.805 & & \\
Corrected Total & 1339.02 & 66 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for two-level fractional factorial design ($2^{n-1}$) of β-glucan production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073.}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\hline
No & A(g/L) & B(g/L) & C(mL/L) & β-glucan(g/L) & Biomass(g/L) \\
\hline
1 & 2.5 & 6 & 1.5 & 23.60±3.88 & 24.28±1.17 \\
2 & 6.5 & 6 & 1.5 & 20.54±0.50 & 27.96±0.65 \\
3 & 2.5 & 12 & 1.5 & 23.23±0.66 & 24.0±1.06 \\
4 & 6.5 & 12 & 1.5 & 23.70±1.44 & 23.27±1.63 \\
5 & 2.5 & 6 & 7.5 & 0 & 1.89±0.15 \\
6 & 6.5 & 6 & 7.5 & 0 & 1.23±0.22 \\
7 & 2.5 & 12 & 7.5 & 0 & 1.47±0.73 \\
8 & 6.5 & 12 & 7.5 & 0 & 1.67±0.29 \\
9 & 1.87 & 9 & 4.5 & 35.77±3.01 & 21.94±0.42 \\
10 & 7.13 & 9 & 4.5 & 24.76±0.96 & 18.72±0.59 \\
11 & 4.5 & 5.05 & 4.5 & 30.70±3.37 & 20.84±0.26 \\
12 & 4.5 & 12.95 & 4.5 & 31.16±4.21 & 20.88±1.08 \\
13 & 4.5 & 9 & 0.6 & 25.56±3.48 & 31.85±2.59 \\
14 & 4.5 & 9 & 8.4 & 0 & 2.30±0.43 \\
15 & 4.5 & 9 & 4.5 & 29.19±2.02 & 24.42±0.98 \\
16 & 4.5 & 9 & 4.5 & 28.68±2.00 & 22.24±1.52 \\
17 & 60 g·L$^{-1}$ glucose, 14 g·L$^{-1}$ malt extract & & & 25.70±0.85 & 15.42±0.38 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{β-glucan and biomass production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073 using central composite design (CCD).}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{llllll}
\hline
Source & Sum of Squares & df & Mean Square & F-Value & Probability (p) > F \\
\hline
Model* & 8114.59 & 14 & 579.61 & 123.64 & < 0.0001 \\
Pure Error & 154.7 & 33 & 4.69 & & \\
Corrected Total & 8269.29 & 47 & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for central composite design of β-glucan production by \textit{O. dipterigena} BCC 2073.}
\end{table}

A: yeast extract, B: hydrolyzed cassava starch, C: molasses.

R$^2$ = 0.98, Adj. R$^2$ = 0.96, Std. Dev = 0.9, C.V.%= 21.54, *significant

R$^2$ = 0.98, Adj. R$^2$ = 0.97, Std. Dev = 2.17, C.V.%= 11.67, *significant
NH4NO3, yeast extract, (NH4)2SO4, NH42HPO4 and malt extract, respectively. NH4H2PO4 provided the highest mycelial growth among all of the inorganic nitrogen sources used in this study, but the maximum β-glucan production was obtained using yeast extract. This finding is similar to that of Fan et al32, who reported that yeast extract is a better nitrogen source for β-glucan production (0.189 g/L) by Agaricus brasiliensis than (NH4)2SO4, KNO3, urea or peptone. Furthermore, yeast extract resulted in higher β-glucan but lower mycelial growth, whereas NH4H2PO4 generated higher mycelial growth but not higher β-glucan production. He et al28 reported that, compared to inorganic nitrogen sources, organic ones yielded relatively lower mycelial growth but higher β-glucan production. The third optimization step results indicated that yeast extract is a better nitrogen source for β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073, which may be because yeast extract is composed of growth factors and other materials that support the growth of and β-glucan production by the fungus.

Optimization of β-glucan production in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks using two-level fractional factorial design

The three-step optimization of β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073 in 50 mL of media revealed that yeast extract and hydrolyzed cassava starch were the best nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively. To reduce the use of yeast extract for β-glucan production, a fractional factorial design at 2 levels were then applied to replace yeast extract with combinations of other suitable inorganic nitrogen sources. The influence of 6 quantitative factors (NH4H2PO4, (NH4)2HPO4, NH4NO3, (NH4)2SO4, (NH4)2HC6H5O7 and yeast extract) on β-glucan production and biomass production of O. dipterigena BCC 2073 were evaluated using a fractional factorial design at 2 levels (coded -1 and +1) with a center point (coded 0) (Table 4). A total of 33 conditions with a control were tested for their ability to support β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073. From the results, modified medium No. 1 yielded β-glucan production comparable to the control medium (24.65±2.21 and 26.30±1.63 g/L, respectively). Medium No. 1 contained 2.5 g/L of yeast extract as a sole nitrogen source. The second best modified medium was medium No. 4, which contained a combination of the three nitrogen sources NH4H2PO4, (NH4)2HPO4 and yeast extract, and yielded 20.67±0.76 g/L of β-glucan. Media No. 12, 18, 25 and 26 produced β-glucan at 7 to 9 g/L, and the lowest levels of β-glucan production were obtained using media 6, 17, 20, 21, 24 and 30. For the determination of accuracy of the results, variations of the two responses were evaluated with 32 run duplicates and 3 at the center (67-run data are shown in Table 5). For β-glucan production, the mean was 4.17, standard deviation was 0.9 and coefficient of variation was 21.54 %. These results indicate that the coefficient of variation was low and that the accuracy was high. A statistical analysis of the results allowed the determination of their significance and of the experimental equation that associated the variables with the results. The complete factorial analysis allowed
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Evaluation of each variable (A, B, C, D, E, F) and also of their interactions (AB, AC, AD, AE, AF, BC, BD, BE, BF, CD, CE, CF, DE, DF, EF). The following equation gives the mean, the coefficient of influence for each factor and the interaction effect coefficients. The model for β-glucan production with different factors using two-level fractional factorial design is shown in equation 1.

\[
\text{β-glucan (g/L)} = 1.17 + 0.27A - 0.25B - 1.12C + 0.51D - 1.51E + 0.68F + 0.18AB - 0.08AC + 0.55AD + 0.75AE + 0.5AF + 1.2BC + 0.84BD - 0.24BE + 0.25BF + 0.41CD + 0.6 CE + 0.9DE + 0.40DF + 0.08EF...
\] (1)

where A = NH₄H₂PO₄, B = (NH₄)₂HPO₄, C = NH₄NO₃, D = (NH₄)₂SO₄, E = (NH₄)₂HC₆H₅O₇ and F = yeast extract.

The quantity of yeast extract (A) was the strongest positive influence on β-glucan production and ranged from 2.5 to 6.5 g/L. The interactions observed in the experimental domain (from -1 to +1) were limited, except for the negative interaction between the quantity of yeast extract and 5 nitrogen sources on β-glucan production. A similar experiment reported that yeast extract was the best material for β-glucan production (8.68 g/L) by Agaricus at low concentration (3 g/L) using Plackett-Burman design for optimization (32). The optimal media component for exopolysaccharide gellan gum (43.6 g/L) production by Sphingomonas paucimobilis ATCC 31461 was also reported to be a low concentration of 0.25 g/L yeast extract, as determined using an L₁₆-orthogonal array (33). Additionally, the maximum yield for exopolysaccharide (3.64 g/L) production by Boetus spp. ATCC 50328 was obtained with a high concentration of yeast extract (14 g/L) combined with 2.7 g/L (NH₄)₂SO₄ using Plackett-Berman design analysis (34). Optimal exopolysaccharide production (3.4 g/L) by Cordyceps militaris NG3 at a low concentration of nitrogen source (1.03 g/L corn steep powder) using Plackett-Burman design has also been reported (35).

Optimization of β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073 using central composite design (CCD) in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flasks

In this experiment, optimizations were performed for yeast extract concentration; molasses was substituted with three extra sugars (glucose 1.26 g/L, sucrose 0.14 g/L and fructose 0.17 g/L); and hydrolyzed cassava starch was used to replace the 5.88 g/L maltose found in the malt extract. Central composite design (CCD) was used to identify the optimal concentrations of these significant factors and to understand the relationship between the various factors and β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073. A set of CCD was 16 runs and 6 replicates of center points, and all experiments were carried out in triplicate. The CCD was applied to the concentrations of nitrogen sources and carbon source optimization for β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073 (Table 6), and the production media contained 60 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch. A β-glucan production yield of 25.70±0.85 g/L and biomass production of 15.42±0.38 g/L were obtained with the control medium. Medium 9, composed of 1.87 g/L yeast extract, 9 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch and 4.5 g/L molasses, gave the highest β-glucan production of 35.77±3.01 g/L and the highest biomass of 21.94±0.42 g/L, and no β-glucan production was obtained using media 5, 6, 7, 8 or 14. At low concentration of 1.87 g/L yeast extract yielded the highest β-glucan production. The ANOVA results for the model were highly significant (Table 7), as evidenced by the very elevated model F-value (123.64) but very low p-value (p < 0.0001). The optimal conditions in this experiment for β-glucan production by O. dipterigena BCC 2073 were 2.5 g/L yeast extract, 68.98 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch and 2.62 g/L molasses, which were selected for further evaluation in a 5-L bioreactor. The model of β-glucan production with different factors using central composite design is shown in equation 2.

\[
\text{β-glucan (g/L)} = 28.93-4.18A+0.17B-9.71C+0.44AB+0.33AC-0.35BC+0.77A²+1.15B²-9.33C²...
\] (2)

where A = yeast extract, B = hydrolyzed cassava starch and C = molasses.

Production of β-glucan by O. dipterigena BCC 2073 in a 5-L fermentor

The medium used in the 5-L fermentor consisted of 68.98 g/L hydrolyzed cassava starch; 2.62 g/L molasses; 2.5 g/L yeast extract; and 0.5 g/L salts, minerals and vitamins. The culture was agitated at 300 rpm and aerated at 1vvm, but pH was not controlled. The time profile of β-glucan production was measured.
production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 in the 5-L fermentor is shown in Figure 1. A maximum \( \beta \)-glucan production yield of 76.87±0.96 g/L and biomass production of 25.6±3.68 g/L were obtained at 288 h. In comparison, Kocharin *et al.* (18) obtained a maximum \( \beta \)-glucan production of 41.2 g/L at 377 h when the fungi was grown on 60 g/L glucose and 14 g/L malt extract. The higher \( \beta \)-glucan content may have been affected by the high viscosity of the medium; sugar uptake may have occurred at a slower rate over the longer cultivation time, creating difficulties in mixing that resulted in a low rate of oxygen transfer (data not shown). The production medium in this experiment generated a higher amount of \( \beta \)-glucan (76.87±0.96 g/L) than that predicted from CCD, which may be due to aeration and temperature control in the fermentor supporting \( \beta \)-glucan production, compared to growth in the 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. This finding was similar to that of Park *et al.* (36), who demonstrated the effects of the aeration rate on mycelial morphology and obtained maximum exopolysaccharide production (14.5 g/L) by *C. militaris* using an aeration rate of 2 vvm. An exopolysaccharide production of 29 g/L by *Aureobasidium pullulans* was obtained at 84 h in a 1-L batch fermentation at high concentration (165.73 g/L) of sucrose combined with low (0.71 g/L) *Ashbya gossypii* extract37.

**CONCLUSIONS**

After the implementation of three-step optimization, two-level fractional factorial design and central composite design for \( \beta \)-glucan production optimization by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 in low-cost media, we obtained \( \beta \)-glucan production yields 1.9-fold higher than those of a previous study and 4.5-fold higher than that obtained after the first optimization step, while also reducing the production time from 377 to 288 h. The cost of media was lowered from $30–40 to $2 per kg of dried product, compared with glucose- and malt extract-based media. This high level of extracellular \( \beta \)-glucan production facilitates the use of downstream processing and avoids the need for extraction from cells. This is the first report of high-yield extracellular \( \beta \)-glucan production by *O. dipterigena* BCC 2073 obtained using low cost media and with subsequent \( \beta \)-glucan characterization. The material’s structure was identified as (1, 3)-\( \beta \)-D-glucan with 0-6 side chains, high branching, and high molecular weight in the range of \( 6.3 \times 10^7–7.7 \times 10^7 \) Da, making this \( \beta \)-glucan suitable for use in a wide range of food, agricultural, medical, pharmaceutical, cosmetic and petrochemical applications in the near future.
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