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Abstract

Enterobacteriaceae pathogens such as Escherichia coli, Salmonella sp., Shigella sp., Proteus sp., and Klebsiella pneumoniae cause a wide range of gastrointestinal and other mucosal infections. These bacteria acquire antibiotic resistance very quickly and evolve into multi-drug resistant strains thereby making the treatment very difficult. The outer membrane proteins (OMPs) in Enterobacteriaceae are potential vaccine candidates owing for their high immunogenicity and amino acid conservation. The OmpA is one such protein which need to be investigated for the development of a potential subunit vaccine against multiple infections caused by the pathogens of Enterobacteriaceae. To investigate this, we expressed and purified the highly conserved OmpA of S. typhimurium and studied the antibody mediated cross reactivity with the other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. This was validated through dot ELISA performed with the hyperimmune sera raised against rOmpA of S. typhimurium. We further analyzed the sequence of OmpA protein and clearly understood that the B-cell epitopes in the protein are highly conserved are responsible for cross reactivity among the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. This work led to findings that provide strong evidence for the application of OmpA in broad-spectrum subunit vaccine against enteric infections.
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INTRODUCTION

Enterobacteriaceae pathogens namely E. coli, K. pneumoniae, and members of the genus Salmonella, Shigella, Proteus cause serious gastrointestinal infections, bacteremia, and other mucosal infections.1-5 The E. coli, Salmonella and Shigella are also major foodborne pathogens transmitted by fecal-oral route. Primarily, these pathogens cause foodborne outbreaks globally by contaminating food and drinking water.1-5 The E. coli is an opportunistic pathogen and has evolved into several serotypes namely enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC), enteraggregative E. coli (EAEC), enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC), enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC), and diffuse adherent E. coli (DAEC).6 These pathogenic forms of E. coli cause serious gastrointestinal infections, sepsis, urinary tract infections (UTIs), meningitis in children and adults with poor immunity.7 Shigella is phylogenetically a very close relative of E. coli in Enterobacteriaceae family, and it is associated with severe gastrointestinal infections even in low CFU count.8,9 Shigella includes four species i.e., S. boydii, S. dysenteriae, S. flexneri and S. sonnei. These bacteria cause an acute intestinal infection called shigellosis.10 Salmonella is another prominent pathogenic member of Enterobacteriaceae that causes diarrhea, typhoid, paratyphoid, salmonellosis, and gastroenteritis etc.11 Members of the genus Proteus like P. mirabilis and P. vulgaris cause UTIs and asymptomatic bacteriuria.12,13 Apart from these pathogens, K. pneumoniae is another important pathogen of Enterobacteriaceae which is also an opportunistic pathogen that is responsible for nosocomial respiratory and urinary tract infections.14-16 Also, K. pneumoniae is the second most frequent bacteria to cause UTIs and bacteremia.14-16

Treatment for these infections has remained a major concern because of their ability to evolve as multi-drug resistant strains over time.17 In this scenario, vaccination and passive immune therapy are the promising alternatives to control widespread infections by these enteric pathogens.

For the development of any immunoprophylactic agent, selection of the right virulence factor as an antigen is very essential. Previous reports from our lab and others suggest that outer membrane proteins (OMPs) of Enterobacteriaceae as strong immunogenic components in vaccine and passive immune therapies.18-21 The OMPs comprise of β-barrel structures that are essential in maintaining membrane integrity and selective permeability in gram negative bacteria including Enterobacteriaceae.22-24 These OMPs are highly immunogenic and are important virulent factors during infection. Furthermore, studies have shown that the OMPs in Enterobacteriaceae are highly conserved with greater amino acid sequence homology which will vouch for cross-protection against wide range of Enterobacteriaceae infections.18-21 The OmpA is such a strong immunogen which elicited strong immune response against Salmonella and E. coli in mouse models.25,26 However, there is lack of information on the nature of epitope conservation and antibody cross reactivity against this antigen among the pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae members. Therefore, our study will really help the current vaccinologists in developing a broad-spectrum epitope-based vaccine against Enterobacteriaceae pathogens simultaneously.

In the present study, we expressed the highly conserved OmpA protein of S. typhimurium and carried out several experiments to understand the cross reactivity by polyclonal antibodies against OmpA of the standard strains used in the study. The antibodies generated against the OmpA of S. typhimurium has shown cross reactivitiy against the other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens such as E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella, Proteus and K. pneumoniae. Sequence and immuninformatics analysis of OmpA has revealed the presence of several conserved B-cell epitopes that are responsible for the cross reactivity by polyclonal antibodies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions

All the standard cultures used in this study were enlisted in Table 1. The bacteria were cultured overnight in Luria Bertani (LB) broth at 37°C. Dehydrated growth media and antibiotics were from HiMedia labs, Mumbai, India. Isopropy-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) was purchased from Thermo Scientific, India. The
S. typhimurium OmpA was cloned into pET22b vector between EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites using GeneRunner software and outsourced from Eurofins, Bengaluru, India. The DH5α and BL21 (DE3) pLys strains of E. coli were used as cloning and expression hosts to express rOmpA of S. typhimurium. These strains were purchased from Invitrogen, USA. Anti-6X histidine mouse monoclonal antibodies and the HRP-conjugated anti-mouse rabbit secondary antibodies were from Sigma Aldrich, India.

**Transformation, expression, and purification**

The recombinant OmpA gene from S. typhimurium ATCC 14028 was cloned in pET22b vector and sequenced at Eurofins Analytical Services, Bengaluru, India. The recombinant plasmid was transformed into chemically competent E. coli DH5α host cells by heat shock at 42°C. The transformants were screened by colony PCR using T7 promoter and terminator primers with appropriate controls as reported elsewhere.27,28 One of positive colonies was sub cultured and the plasmid DNA was isolated from the transformed cells for further transformation into E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLys expression host cells. The overnight culture was inoculated into 200 mL of LB broth (tryptone=2g; yeast extract=1g; NaCl=2g; pH=7.0) containing 50 µg/mL of ampicillin and 35 µg/mL of chloramphenicol and grown at 37°C overnight. After reaching desired O.D refreshed value of ~0.6, the rOmpA gene was induced with 1mM of isopropyl β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG) and cultured for another 5 hrs for the efficient protein expression. After this, the cells were harvested, subjected to osmotic shock and the periplasmic extracts were purified using imidazole and Ni-NTA metal affinity chromatography. The eluted protein extracts were pooled and subjected to buffer exchange in 1X sterile PBS (NaCl=137 mM; KCl=2.7 mM; Na₂HPO₄=4.3 mM; KH₂PO₄=1.47 mM; pH=7.4). The purified rOmpA protein was lyophilized, diluted with 1 X sterile PBS, and quantified by Lowry’s assay with BSA standards.29

**Immunization**

Five-week-old female BALB/c mice were caged in polystyrene cages with rice hulls as bedding material. The mice were provided with food pellets, mineral water from Kent water purifier and maintained in 12-hr light and dark cycles. Fifty micrograms of purified rOmpA were emulsified with Freund’s complete adjuvant and administered sub-cutaneous during the first immunization. Similarly, 50 μg of rOmpA antigen emulsified with Freund’s incomplete adjuvant was administered as booster dose during the second and third immunizations on 14th and 28th day post first immunization. Forty-five days post first immunization, the mice were sacrificed, and blood was harvested by cardiac puncture for serum isolation. After this, Antibody cross reactivity was studied against the Enterobacteriaceae strains through dot ELISA.

**Dot ELISA**

The standard Enterobacteriaceae cultures enlisted in table 1 were cultured overnight and immediately used for determining the polyclonal antibody cross reactivity using dot ELISA. Briefly, cells were harvested from the 500 µL of overnight culture and resuspended in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.2). Then 10 µL of the cell suspension was coated on to nitrocellulose strips and airdried followed by blocking in 5% milk solution for 1 hour at room temperature. The strips were washed with PBST solution 4-5 times and incubated in anti-OmpA polyclonal antibody serum. The unbound antibodies were washed, and strips were further incubated with anti-mouse HRP conjugate secondary antibody, washed, and developed with diaminobenzidine (DAB) tetrahydrochloride solution and 0.003% H₂O₂.

**Table 1.** List of Enterobacteriaceae strains used in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism/strain</th>
<th>Strain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td>ATCC 14028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella paratyphi</td>
<td>ATCC 9150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella flexneri</td>
<td>ATCC 9199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella boydii</td>
<td>ATCC 9207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumoniae</td>
<td>ATCC 10031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteus vulgaris</td>
<td>ATCC 13315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>ATCC 10536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella paratyphi</td>
<td>MTCC 735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhi</td>
<td>Gwalior isolate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella enteritidis</td>
<td>Gwalior isolate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Percentage similarity index showing the sequence homology of OmpA among the *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>P. mirabilis</em></th>
<th><em>P. vulgaris</em></th>
<th><em>K. pneumoniae</em></th>
<th><em>S. paratyphi B</em></th>
<th><em>S. paratyphi A</em></th>
<th><em>S. typhimurium</em></th>
<th><em>S. enteritidis</em></th>
<th><em>S. typhi</em></th>
<th><em>S. paratyphi C</em></th>
<th><em>S. dysenteriae</em></th>
<th><em>S. boydii</em></th>
<th><em>E. coli</em></th>
<th><em>S. flexneri</em></th>
<th><em>S. sonnei</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. mirabilis</em></td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>71.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. vulgaris</em></td>
<td>92.60</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>71.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>K. pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>87.73</td>
<td>87.69</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>86.81</td>
<td>86.20</td>
<td>85.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. paratyphi B</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. paratyphi A</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>87.73</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. enteritidis</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>87.69</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. typhi</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>97.27</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>98.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. paratyphi C</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>88.04</td>
<td>99.39</td>
<td>99.70</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>93.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>86.81</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.14</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>98.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. boydii</em></td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>70.25</td>
<td>86.20</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>99.09</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>99.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>70.86</td>
<td>85.89</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>93.64</td>
<td>97.27</td>
<td>97.58</td>
<td>98.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. flexneri</em></td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>71.47</td>
<td>86.77</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>94.53</td>
<td>98.18</td>
<td>98.48</td>
<td>99.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. sonnei</em></td>
<td>71.17</td>
<td>71.17</td>
<td>86.50</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.14</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>95.15</td>
<td>98.18</td>
<td>98.48</td>
<td>99.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sequence retrieval and alignment
The complete amino acid sequence of OmpA protein of *S. typhimurium* LT12 strain bearing an accession ID of P02936 was retrieved from Uniprot resource (https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/P02936/entry). Later this sequence was used to mine the complete amino acid sequences of OmpA from other *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *E. coli*, *Shigella* sp., *K. pneumoniae* and *Proteus* sp. (Table 2). Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) was performed for these sequences using CLUSTAL Omega tool (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/).

B-cell epitopes
Initially B-cell epitopes were predicted in the core peptide region (21-350 aa) of OmpA of *S. typhimurium*. Then amino acid sequence conservation in the predicted B-cell epitopes of *S. typhimurium* OmpA was identified in sequence alignment file containing OmpA sequences of other *Enterobacteriaceae* pathogens. For the prediction of B-cell epitopes in OmpA of *S. typhimurium*, we used BepiPred 2.0 (https://services.healthtech.dtu.dk/services/BepiPred-2.0/) tool with default parameters.

RESULTS
Generation and purification of rOmpA
The IPTG induction of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLys cells carrying rOmpA-pET22b plasmid resulted in expression of a ~38-kDa protein which was further purified from the periplasmic extracts through Ni-NTA chromatography (Figure 1a). Then after the pooled eluents were buffer exchanged and purified under native conditions to retain the protein structure for its efficient biological activity in vivo. The protein concentration was found to be ~1mg/mL which was determined by Lowry’s method. The rOmpA protein of *S. typhimurium* also contains 6X His tag at the C-terminal end of the protein. Using the mouse monoclonal antibodies raised against the 6X-His tag, the rOmpA protein

Figure 1. Purification of r-OmpA protein and polyclonal antibody mediated cross reactivity towards the Enterobacteriaceae strains. A. SDS-PAGE image showing the purified r-OmpA. B. Western blot image showing the reactivity of anti-6X histidine antibodies to the purified r-OmpA at ~38-kDa. C. Cross reactivity of polyclonal antibodies in the hyperimmune sera was determined through dot ELISA. The polyclonal antibodies in the serum were not reactive to i. negative control, but reactive to ii. *Salmonella typhimurium* ATCC 14028, iii. *Salmonella paratyphi* ATCC 9150, iv. *Shigella flexneri* ATCC 9199, v. *Shigella boydii* ATCC 9207, vi. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 10031, vii. *Proteus vulgaris* ATCC 13315, viii. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 10536, ix. *Salmonella paratyphi* MTCC 735, x. *Salmonella typhi* Gwalior isolate and xi. *Salmonella enteritidis* Gwalior isolate.
was detected through western blot (Figure 1b). Reactivity of the 6X-His antibodies was observed at the vicinity of 35-kDa band in western blot.

Cross reactivity by polyclonal antibodies

Upon confirming rOmpA through Western blot followed by the successful immunization of rOmpA protein, the mice were sacrificed once the antibody titer reached 1:64000 after the third booster dose. The high antibody titer indicates strong immunogenic potential of OmpA protein. The harvested serum was used for dot ELISA experiments to determine cross reactivity of anti-OmpA polyclonal antibodies against other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. Dot ELISA results showed the cross reactivity of anti-OmpA antibodies against other Enterobacteriaceae strains such as E. coli, Shigella sp., Proteus sp., K. pneumoniae and other Salmonella serovars (Figure 1c). As we expected through our in-silico analysis, high degree of amino acid sequence conservation led to the presence of several conserved B-cell epitopes (Figure 2 and 3) which can mediate the cross reactivity by anti-S. typhimurium OmpA polyclonal antibodies towards overnight cultures of standard Enterobacteriaceae cultures we used in this study.

Amino acid sequence conservation in OmpA

We performed MSA analysis for all the retrieved amino acid sequences of OmpA from the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens that are included in the study. The percent identity matrix of OmpA protein among different pathogens was represented in Table 2. The OmpA protein is highly conserved in the monophyletic group of Enterobacteriaceae such as E. coli, Shigella, Salmonella and K. pneumoniae sp. (Table 2). Moreover, considerable amount of amino acid sequence similarity is seen in P. mirabilis and P. vulgaris too which are somewhat distinct from E. coli, Shigella, Salmonella and K. pneumoniae (Table 2). Overall, almost ~66% of the amino acid residues in OmpA protein are highly conserved vowing to the existence of conserved B-cell epitopes to which the antibodies can cross react.

B-cell epitope conservation in the OmpA

Using BepiPred 2.0 tool, we predicted a total of 7 B-cell epitopes in the OmpA of S. typhimurium (Figure 1). These are QYHDTGFHNDGPTHENQLGA (38-58 aa), DWLGRMPYKGDNINGAYK (77-94 aa), DTKSNVPGGSTKDHTGV (126-144 aa), WTNNIGDANTIGTRPDNGLSSVGVSYRFGGQAAPVAPAPAPEVQTKHF (168-219 aa), LFNFNKSTLKPEGQQ (226-240 aa), and RIGSDAYNQGLSEK (267-280 aa).

Figure 2. The B-cell epitopes predicted in OmpA of S. typhimurium. The B-cell epitopes were highlighted in different colors (orange, red, green, blue, yellow, pink, and cyan, respectively) three-dimensional tertiary structure of OmpA of S. typhimurium LT2 strain.
Figure 3. Multiple sequence alignment showing amino acid sequence conservation of B-cell epitopes in the OmpA among Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. The B-cell epitopes in the OmpA antigen of S. typhimurium are highly conserved in the other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens such as E. coli, Shigella, K. pneumoniae and Proteus sp. This indicates the likelihood of polyclonal antibody mediated cross reactivity among Enterobacteriaceae pathogens.
aa), RIGSDAYNQGLSEK (267-280 aa) and GESNPVTGNTCDNVKRAALIDCL (305-328 aa) respectively (Figure 2). Later, the predicted B-cell epitopes were searched for their amino acid sequence conservation in the alignment of 14 sequences of Enterobacteriaceae pathogens we used for analysis (Figure 3). Among all the 7 predicted epitopes, DWLGRMPYKGDNINGAYK (77-94 aa) and GESNPVTGNTCDNVKRAALIDCL (305-328 aa) are highly conserved with 72.22% and 75% of the amino acids covering all the 14 pathogens (Figure 3 and 4). Whereas three epitopes WTNNIGDANTIGTRPDNGLSSLVGSYRFGLQEAAPVVAPAPAPEVQTKHFLNFINKSTLKEPGQQ (226-240 aa) and RIGSDAYNQGLSEK (267-280 aa) are the next highly conserved ones with at least 60% of amino acid residues covering all the 14 sequences (Figure 3 and 4). Only two epitopes QYHDTGFHINDGPM.GetHashCode(73) (38-58 aa) and DTKSVNPQGPPKDHTGV (126-144 aa) are with least amino acid conservation (38.09% and 26.31%) in the OmpA of all the 14 sequences (Figure 3 and 4).

DISCUSSION

Antibody cross reactivity provides cross protective immune response against a broad range of closely related pathogens. Shared epitopes because of amino acid sequence homology among species is the underlying factor for this. Enterobacteriaceae pathogens such as E. coli, Salmonella, Shigella, Proteus and K. pneumoniae are responsible for a wide range of gastrointestinal and other mucosal infections. Moreover, the family members of Enterobacteriaceae share similarities in the antigenic properties. Significantly, the Enterobacteriaceae OMPs are strong immunogens and also highly conserved with greater amino acid identity. The OMPs play a crucial role in the adherence of bacteria to the surface of host cells. Therefore, prevention or blocking of bacterial adhesion to cell surface will be a promising approach for immune therapy. The antibodies directed against these OMPs will prevent the establishment of infection.

Hence, application of these OMPs in vaccine development and passive immune
therapies might confer cross protection against multiple pathogens of Enterobacteriaceae by producing cross reactive antibodies in the host. Our recent study has explored the likelihood of conserved epitopes in the crude OMP of Salmonella and other Enterobacteriaceae pathogens which were revealed with a potent Sal-6 monoclonal antibody (mAb). This mAb was raised using crude extracts of OMP proteins recovered from the S. typhimurium cells. Whereas the identity of the OMP to which Sal-6 reacts was not deduced.

In this study, we explored the antibody mediated cross reactivity against the Enterobacteriaceae pathogens as a primary attempt to uncover an important OMP, i.e., OmpA as broad-spectrum epitope vaccine for serious bacterial infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. Our results show that the polyclonal antibodies that are raised against rOmpA of S. typhimurium are reactive towards E. coli, Shigella, Salmonella, Proteus, and K. pneumoniae (Figure 1c). The high degree of amino acid sequence conservation with ~ 66% of identity indicates the presence of conserved B-cell epitopes (Figure 3 and 4). Because of highly conserved B-cell epitopes in OmpA, the polyclonal antibodies are reactive against standard Enterobacteriaceae strains used in this study (Figure 1c). In support with the few other studies, the initial finding in our study is critical to study the cross protective efficiency of OmpA as a subunit vaccine candidate against Enterobacteriaceae infections.

However, T-cell mediated immune response is also very crucial in generating immune response against the pathogen. We also presume the occurrence of several T-cell epitopes in OmpA with high sequence conservation among Enterobacteriaceae. But this presumption should be investigated with appropriate in vitro and in vivo experiments.

Finally, our work resulted in the identification of several conserved B-cell epitopes in the OmpA which are responsible for antibody mediated cross reactivity against the Enterobacteriaceae strains. The rOmpA could be explored as a potential subunit immunogen against multiple pathogens of Enterobacteriaceae. Additionally, the antibodies against OmpA could be used as an alternative for antibiotics to treat infections caused by these prominent Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. However, in vitro experiments and pre-clinical evaluation in mice model are highly needed to accomplish the immunogenicity and safety of OmpA for immunoprophylaxis against broad range of Enterobacteriaceae infections.

CONCLUSION

Finally, our work resulted in the identification of several conserved B-cell epitopes in the OmpA which are responsible for antibody mediated cross reactivity against the Enterobacteriaceae strains. The rOmpA could be explored as a potential subunit immunogen against multiple pathogens of Enterobacteriaceae. Additionally, the antibodies against OmpA could be used as an alternative for antibiotics to treat infections caused by these prominent Enterobacteriaceae pathogens. However, in vitro experiments and preclinical evaluation in mice model are highly needed to accomplish the immunogenicity and safety of OmpA for immunoprophylaxis against broad range of Enterobacteriaceae infections.
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