Effect of Row Arrangements on Quality and Nutrient Dynamics of Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum l.*) + Dwarf Field Pea (*Pisum sativum l.*) Intercropping Association in Irrigated Condition

Shiv Bahadur^{1*}, S.K. Verma¹, Surajyoti Pradhan¹, Lala Ram¹, R.N. Maurya² and S.P. Maurya³

1Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras HinduUniversity, Varanasi - 221 005, India. ²Department of Agronomy, N. D. University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh - 224 229, India. ³STA -'B' Agricultural Science, Defence Institute of High Altitude Research, DRDO, Leh - 901 2051, India.

http://dx.doi.org/10.22207/JPAM.10.4.43

(Received: 17 July 2016; accepted: 21 September 2016)

Field experiments were conducted during winter season 2013-14 to study the effect of various row arrangements on quality and nutrient dynamics of linseed + dwarf field pea were investigated. The highest grain and straw yield, protein and oil content were recorded in T_a followed by T_g whereas highest protein and NKP content were recorded in T_{11} followed by T_5 . In case of dwarf field pea highest grain, straw and protein yield was recorded in T_{11} followed by T_5 whereas highest protein and NPK content were recorded in T_a . None of the row arrangement was show superiority over sole crop of either linseed or field pea.

Keywords: Intercropping, Protein, Nutrient dynamics, Linseed, Dwarf field pea.

Linseed or flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) is an herbaceous plant belonging to Linaceae family, is an important industrial crop grown worldwide for its fibre and oilseed Buranov *et al.* 2010. Linseed has been used for a very long time in human and animal nutrition. Currently, there is an increasing interest in linseed oil because of its oil is highly rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and contains more than 50% of a-linolenic acid (ALA), which is an omega-3 and essential fatty acid (FA). Consumption of omega-3 FA is necessary for many physiological reasons and has been associated with a lower incidence of many types of illnesses among which inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases Simopoulos, 2002. Among

the oilseed crops grown during *rabi* season, is next in importance to rapeseed and mustard in area and production both. One of the reasons of the linseed finding place in mixed or intercropping has been minimizing risk of moisture variation and infestation of insect pest and diseases Sharma *et al.* 2012.

Pulses are the primary source of protein and fibre in human diet and also maintain the soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation in soil and thus play a vital role in maintaining soil fertility. India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world. India produces 17.21 million tonnes of pulses from an area of 24.78 million hectares. The average productivity of country is about 689 kg ha⁻¹ against the global productivity of 857 kg ha⁻¹ Singh *et al.* 2009. Field pea (*Pisum sativum* L. 2n = 14, Fabaceae) is one of the most important *rabi* season food legume crops in the

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: rbsbmaurya@gmail.com

world covering 6.59 million hectares FAOSTAT, 2012 and is the third most widely grown grain legume worldwide Tyagi et al. 2012. In India, fieldpea is an important rabi pulse crop grown in about 0.76 m ha area with annual production of 0.71 m tones and productivity of 993 kg ha⁻¹ PC Report, 2012. Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh are the leading fieldpea growing state contributing 49% of country's production. Besides these states, Bihar, Assam, Maharashtra and Orissa are also fieldpea growing states. Unfortunately, the overall yield of fieldpea is low in India as compared to the world average yield due to the narrow genetic base and limited variability used in the development of local varieties. Grains of pea are rich source of proteins, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins, which are good for human and livestock consumption. Dry pea grains are naturally dried ones that are consumed in soups, stews and various other Cuisines Parihar et al. 2014.

Growing of two or more generally dissimilar crops simultaneously on the same piece of land, in distinct row arrangement is known as intercropping. It is a practice that increases diversity in the cropping system Bahadur et al. 2015. Plant population and spatial arrangement in intercropping have important effects on the balance of competition between component crops and their productivity Tripathi et al. 2010. It also ensures adequate yield of one of the crops under aberrant weather conditions Rao and Willey, 1980. Biological efficiency of any intercropping systems depends on the identification of compatible genotypes, spatial arrangement, fertility and water management. Information on linseed + dwarf field pea intercropping system is inadequate. Keeping this in view, present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the quality and nutrient removal asinfluenced by different row arrangements of both crops.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The field experiment was conducted during the *rabi* season of 2013-14 at Agricultural research farm, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh. This is geographically located 25°18 N latitude and 83°3 E, longitude at an elevation of about 128.39 m above mean sea level in the northern Gangatic

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(4), DECEMBER 2016.

Alluvial plains. The experimental site was fairly uniform in topography and well drained. It has subtropical type of climate with hot summer and cold winter. The total rainfall in the study area during the crop growing season (5th November to 1st April) was recorded 133.9 mm, besides the crop was irrigated two time (pre-sowing and pre flowering). The irrigation was given 5 cm each time. Prior to the commencement of the present study, the field was under rice-intercropping {(linseed + dwarf field pea) with similar set of treatments) }. The Physicochemical analysis of the soil was done by collecting soil samples from a depth of 0-30 cm. Soil at the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture with neutral in reaction (pH 7.22), EC (0.28 dS m⁻¹), bulk density (1.39 g cm⁻³), low in organic carbon (0.45%), available N (209 kg ha⁻¹), P (19.7 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in K (215.9 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was conducted in randomized block design, with fourteen row arrangement treatment, viz. sole linseed (T_1) , sole dwarf field pea (T_2) , row ratio of 3:1 with 75% linseed + 25% dwarf pea (T_3) , row ratio of 3:2 with 60% linseed + 40 dwarf field pea (T_{4}) , row ratio of 1:3 with 25% linseed + 75% dwarf field pea (T_5), row ratio of 2:3 with 40% linseed + 60% dwarf field pea (T_6), row ratio of 3:3 with 50% linseed + 50% dwarf field pea (T_7) , row ratio of 4:1 with 80% linseed + 20% dwarf field pea (T_{o}), row ratio of 4:2 with 66.67% linseed + 33.34% dwarf field pea (T_0), row ratio of 4:3 with 57.15% linseed + 42.86% dwarf field pea (T_{10}) , row ratio of 1:4 with 20% linseed + 80% dwarf field pea (T_{11}) , row ratio of 2:4 with 33.34% linseed + 66.67% dwarf field pea (T_{12}) , row ratio of 3:4 with 42.86% linseed + 57.15% dwarf field pea (T_{13}) and row ratio of 4:4 with 50% linseed + 50% dwarf field pea (T_{14}). The gross plot size was $5.0 \text{ m} \times 4.0 \text{ m}$. The seed rate of crop were using 30 kg ha-1 of linseed and 80 kg ha-1 of dwarf field pea in lines spaced as per treatment in sole cropping. In intercropping treatments row to row distance was maintained 30 cm and sowing was done by "kera" method in open furrow. The crop was sown on 15 November 2013 with using "Shekhar" linseed and "Prakash" dwarf field pea variety. The recommended fertilizer dose for linseed 50 kg N, 40 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O and 20 kg N, 40 kg P₂O₅ and 40 kg K₂O for dwarf field pea were applied through urea DAP and MOP at prior to sowing only in sole crop. In intercropping combinations seed rate and fertilizers were adjusted

$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$					Linseed				Ι	Dwarf field pea	в
yieldyieldcontentYieldordentyieldyieldordent(g_{g} ')(g_{g} ')(g_{g})(g_{g} ha'')(g_{g} ')(g_{g} ')(g_{g} ')(g_{g} ')(g_{g})1640363041.08673.82.02332.51301277040.96533.22.0.52.67.14.406.292.102.1011118237140.96533.22.0.52.67.14.406.292.2.611118237140.96533.22.0.52.67.14.406.292.2.611118237140.96533.22.0.52.0.72.31.85.652.2.611118237140.814.56.42.0.72.31.85.652.2.62.2.611118237140.834.76.52.101.77.29.951.4942.1711182392335.92.1.11.77.29.951.6942.0.72.1.811433906135.52.162.1.62.2.62.2.72.42.1.71152245039.66135.52.1.87.4.41.4262.2.142.1.31150102539.66135.52.1.87.4.41.4262.1.42.1.31180.10539.662.0.62.1.21.47.41.0291.6.7		Grain	Straw	Oil	liO	Protein	Protein	Grain	Straw	Protein	Protein
		yield	yield	content	Yield	content	yield	yield	yield	content	yield
1640 3630 41.08 673.8 20.2 332.5 $ 1301$ 2770 40.96 533.2 20.5 267.1 4440 629 22.8 1118 2321 40.81 456.4 20.7 231.8 565 222.8 283 1120 39.75 234.9 21.10 155.4 2001 21.3 740 15641 39.92 335.9 21.10 155.4 2001 21.3 8411 1760 39.92 335.9 21.10 155.4 2001 21.3 841 1766 39.92 335.9 21.10 155.4 2001 21.3 1438 3070 40.98 589.4 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.33 377.4 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.7 916 1930 40.73 377.4 20.6 188.5 74.4 1426 21.3 510 1025 39.66 1355.5 22.14 21.3 21.4 21.3 916 1937 39.66 1355.2 277.4 21.4 21.3 924 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 199.2 277 <t< th=""><th></th><th>(kg ⁻¹)</th><th>(kg ⁻¹)</th><th>(%)</th><th>(kg ha⁻¹)</th><th>(%)</th><th>(kg ha⁻¹)</th><th>(kg ⁻¹)</th><th>(kg ⁻¹)</th><th>(%)</th><th>(kg ha⁻¹)</th></t<>		(kg ⁻¹)	(kg ⁻¹)	(%)	(kg ha ⁻¹)	(%)	(kg ha ⁻¹)	(kg ⁻¹)	(kg ⁻¹)	(%)	(kg ha ⁻¹)
- $ -$	T, (Sole linseed)	1640	3630	41.08	673.8	20.2	332.5	1			
1301 2770 40.96 533.2 20.5 267.1 440 629 22.8 5831118 2321 40.81 456.4 20.7 231.8 565 822 22.6 5831120 39.75 232.0 21.6 126.3 1294 2001 21.3 7401541 39.92 335.9 21.1 177.2 995 1494 21.7 8411760 39.92 335.9 21.1 177.2 995 1494 21.7 1433 3770 40.98 5894 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.98 5894 20.6 12772 995 1494 21.7 1153 3770 40.98 5894 20.6 126.2 397 224 2116 12772 397 373.4 20.6 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.7 341 650 39.60 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.60 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 510 1025 39.60 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 517 39.85 2770 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 536 20.7 21.8 74.4 1029 1560 21.7 537 91.88 0.119	T, (sole dwarf field pea)	,	I	I	ı	I	ı	1775	2769	21.0	372.8
1118 2321 40.81 456.4 20.7 231.8 565 822 22.6 5831120 39.75 232.0 21.6 126.3 1294 2011 21.3 7401541 39.85 294.9 21.0 155.4 1025 1577 21.5 8411760 39.92 335.9 21.1 177.2 995 1494 21.7 1438 3070 40.98 589.4 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.33 470.5 20.3 296.2 397 562 22.4 916 1930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 39.66 135.5 21.14 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 510 1025 39.69 202.4 20.7 193.7 619.1167 1792 21.4 510 39.66 135.5 21.14 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 513 39.69 202.4 20.7 193.7 679 1167 22.4 513 39.69 277.0 21.2 147.4 1022 52.4 534 791 1187 714 1022 2214 21.3 517 39.86 277.0 21.7 93.85 56.4 26.48 26.7 94.8 1.366 55.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46	$T_{3}^{2}(3:1)$	1301	2770	40.96	533.2	20.5	267.1	440	629	22.8	98.8
583 1120 39.75 232.0 21.6 126.3 1294 2001 21.3 740 1541 39.85 294.9 21.0 155.4 1025 1577 21.5 841 1760 39.92 335.9 21.1 177.2 995 1494 21.7 1438 3070 40.98 589.4 20.6 155.4 1025 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.83 470.5 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.4 916 1930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 22.14 21.3 510 1025 39.66 135.5 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 653 1370 39.85 277.10 21.2 147.4 1029 1792 21.4 635 13770 39.85 277.10 20.7 193.7 679 10056 21.4 65.41 <td>T, (3:2)</td> <td>1118</td> <td>2321</td> <td>40.81</td> <td>456.4</td> <td>20.7</td> <td>231.8</td> <td>565</td> <td>822</td> <td>22.6</td> <td>127.8</td>	T, (3:2)	1118	2321	40.81	456.4	20.7	231.8	565	822	22.6	127.8
740154139.85294.921.0155.41025157721.5 841 176039.92335.921.1177.2995149421.7 1438 307040.98589.420.6296.239756222.9 1152 245040.83470.520.3232.866195222.7 916 193040.73373.420.6188.5791116122.4 341 65039.66135.521.874.41426221421.3 510 102539.69202.421.4109.01167179221.4 695 137039.85277.021.2147.41029156021.7 934 188040.11374.920.7193.7679100521.7 695 137039.85277.021.2147.41029156021.7 934 188040.11374.920.7193.7679100521.7 695 137026.480.45515.462794.81.30 65.41 780.11926.480.45515.462794.81.30	$T_{5}^{2}(1:3)$	583	1120	39.75	232.0	21.6	126.3	1294	2001	21.3	275.8
841 1760 39.92 335.9 21.1 177.2 995 1494 21.7 1438 3070 40.98 589.4 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.83 470.5 20.3 232.8 661 952 22.7 916 1930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 39.66 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 6541 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{k}^{(2:3)}$	740	1541	39.85	294.9	21.0	155.4	1025	1577	21.5	220.3
1438 3070 40.98 589.4 20.6 296.2 397 562 22.9 1152 2450 40.83 470.5 20.3 232.8 661 952 22.7 916 1930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 39.66 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{7}^{(3:3)}$	841	1760	39.92	335.9	21.1	177.2	995	1494	21.7	216.1
1152 2450 40.83 470.5 20.3 232.8 661 952 22.7 916 1930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 39.66 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{s}^{(1)}(4:1)$	1438	3070	40.98	589.4	20.6	296.2	397	562	22.9	91.1
9161930 40.73 373.4 20.6 188.5 791 1161 22.4 341 650 39.66 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 1090 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.7 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	T ₀ (4:2)	1152	2450	40.83	470.5	20.3	232.8	661	952	22.7	150.0
341 650 39.66 135.5 21.8 74.4 1426 2214 21.3 510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.5 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{10}^{-}(4:3)$	916	1930	40.73	373.4	20.6	188.5	791	1161	22.4	177.4
510 1025 39.69 202.4 21.4 109.0 1167 1792 21.4 695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.5 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{11}^{22}(1:4)$	341	650	39.66	135.5	21.8	74.4	1426	2214	21.3	303.0
695 1370 39.85 277.0 21.2 147.4 1029 1560 21.7 934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 679 1005 21.5 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	$T_{12}^{II}(2:4)$	510	1025	39.69	202.4	21.4	109.0	1167	1792	21.4	250.2
934 1880 40.11 374.9 20.7 193.7 67.9 1005 21.5 65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	T_{13}^{12} (3:4)	695	1370	39.85	277.0	21.2	147.4	1029	1560	21.7	223.1
65.41 78 0.119 26.48 0.455 15.46 27 94.8 1.30	T_{14}^{1} (4:4)	934	1880	40.11	374.9	20.7	193.7	619	1005	21.5	148.6
	CD at 5%	65.41	78	0.119	26.48	0.455	15.46	27	94.8	1.30	15.4

BAHADUR et al.: STUDY OF LINSEED + DWARF FIELD PEA

2829

Treatments			Linseed	seed					D	Dwarf field pea	a	
	Nitrogen (gen (%)	Phosphc	Phosphorous (%)	Potassium (%)	m (%)	Nitro	Nitrogen (%)	Phosph	Phosphorous (%)	Potassium (%)	(%) u
	Grain	straw	Grain	straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	straw	Grain	straw	Grain	Straw
T, (Sole linseed)	3.23	0.577	0.777	0.213	3.04	0.890						
T, (sole dwarf field pea)	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	3.36	1.21	1.15	0.421	0.667	1.11
$T_{3}^{r}(3:1)$	3.28	0.583	0.779	0.214	3.09	0.892	3.63	1.41	1.28	0.531	0.763	1.24
$T_{4}^{'}$ (3:2)	3.32	0.587	0.782	0.216	3.12	0.894	3.60	1.38	1.27	0.530	0.747	1.23
$T_{5}(1:3)$	3.46	0.614	0.789	0.228	3.21	0.908	3.41	1.25	1.17	0.451	0.682	1.10
$T_{6}^{'}(2:3)$	3.36	0.605	0.785	0.225	3.16	0.905	3.44	1.28	1.21	0.461	0.687	1.12
$T_{7}^{(3)}(3:3)$	3.37	0.597	0.782	0.222	3.14	0.902	3.48	1.34	1.23	0.460	0.699	1.13
$T_{8}^{(4:1)}$	3.29	0.585	0.779	0.215	3.08	0.894	3.67	1.43	1.30	0.539	0.768	1.25
T_{0}^{2} (4:2)	3.24	0.593	0.780	0.219	3.11	0.896	3.62	1.40	1.28	0.531	0.763	1.23
$T_{10}^{(0)}$ (4:3)	3.29	0.605	0.783	0.222	3.11	0.903	3.59	1.36	1.24	0.529	0.750	1.21
T_{11}^{11} (1:4)	3.48	0.616	0.793	0.230	3.25	0.911	3.40	1.24	1.16	0.447	0.672	1.12
$5T_{12}$ (2:4)	3.42	0.610	0.789	0.227	3.22	0.907	3.43	1.27	1.20	0.469	0.685	1.13
$T_{13}^{-1}(3:4)$	3.39	0.591	0.785	0.225	3.16	0.902	3.47	1.31	1.23	0.472	0.691	1.14
T_{14} (4:4)	3.32	0.597	0.781	0.225	3.12	0.903	3.49	1.35	1.23	0.483	0.716	1.15
CD at 5%	0.073	0.010	0.004	0.004	0.080	0.005	0.170	0.064	0.052	0.010	0.023	0.076

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(4), DECEMBER 2016.

2830

BAHADUR et al.: STUDY OF LINSEED + DWARF FIELD PEA

-
see
f lins
of
straw
and
sium by grain and stra-
by
as
and
Phosphorus and pot-
Ŀ,
Nitroge
of
, Uptake
ë
Table

Treatments			Linseed	eed					Ď	Dwarf field pea	2a	
	Nitrog (]	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus uptake (kg h	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium uptake (kg h	Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Nitrogen uptake (kg ł	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Ph _o uptake (Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Pota uptake (Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)
	Grain	straw	Grain	straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	straw	Grain	straw	Grain	Straw
T ₁ (Sole linseed)	53.2	20.9	12.7	7.7	49.9	32.3					1	
T ₂ (sole dwarf field pea)	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	ı	59.7	33.5	20.5	11.7	11.8	30.7
T_{3}^{t} (3:1)	42.7	16.1	10.1	5.9	40.2	24.7	15.8	8.8	5.6	3.3	3.3	7.8
T_{4}^{2} (3:2)	37.1	13.6	8.7	5.0	34.9	20.8	20.4	11.3	7.2	4.1	4.2	10.1
	20.2	6.9	4.6	2.6	18.8	10.2	44.1	24.9	15.2	0.6	8.8	22.0
	24.9	9.3	5.8	3.5	23.4	14.0	35.2	20.3	12.4	7.3	7.0	17.7
	28.4	10.5	6.6	3.9	26.4	15.9	34.6	20.0	12.3	6.9	7.0	16.9
	47.4	18.0	11.2	9.9	44.4	27.5	14.6	8.0	5.2	3.0	3.1	7.0
	37.3	14.5	9.0	5.4	35.8	21.9	24.0	13.4	8.5	5.0	5.0	11.7
	30.2	11.7	7.2	4.3	28.5	17.4	28.4	15.7	9.7	5.8	5.9	14.0
	11.9	4.0	2.7	1.5	11.1	5.9	48.5	27.5	16.5	9.8	9.6	24.7
	17.4	6.2	4.0	2.3	16.4	9.3	40.0	22.8	14.0	8.3	8.0	20.3
	23.6	8.1	5.5	3.1	21.9	12.4	35.7	20.5	12.7	7.4	7.1	17.8
	31.0	11.2	7.3	4.2	29.2	17.0	23.8	13.5	8.4	4.9	4.9	11.6
0 CD at 5%	2.47	0.52	0.52	0.18	2.18	0.727	2.48	1.31	0.72	0.44	0.26	1.32

BAHADUR et al.: STUDY OF LINSEED + DWARF FIELD PEA

2831

according to number of row arrangement.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Protein content and protein yield

Table 1 indicated that intercropping treatments significantly influenced the protein content in both crops linseed and dwarf field pea. The protein in linseed grain increased from 20.2 to 21.8% with various treatments. The highest protein content and protein yield was recorded under row ratio of 1:4 with 20% linseed + 80% dwarf field pea (T_{11}) and sole linseed (T_1) respectively. Where at par protein content was recorded with row ratio of 1:3 with 25% linseed + 75% dwarf field pea (T_s) and row ratio of 2:4 with 33.34% linseed + 66.67% dwarf field pea (T_{12}) . The highest protein content and protein yield in dwarf field pea was recorded under row ratio of 4:1 with 80% linseed + 20% dwarf field pea (T_{\circ}) and sole dwarf field pea respectively as compared to other treatments. Where at par protein content was recorded under row ratio of 3:1 with 75% linseed + 25% dwarf pea (T_2) , row ratio of 3:2 with 60% linseed + 40 dwarf field pea (T_{A}), row ratio of 3:3 with 50% linseed + 50% dwarf field pea (T_{7}) , row ratio of 4:2 with 66.67% linseed + 33.34% dwarf field pea (T_0), row ratio of 3:4 with 42.86% linseed + 57.15% dwarf field pea (T_{13}) and row ratio of 4:4 with 50% linseed + 50% dwarf field pea (T_{14}) . This might be due to the increase or decrease of nitrogen content in grain because protein content was directly related to nitrogen content in plant. These results are corroborated with the research finding of Singh et al. (2013).

Oil content and oil yield

The significantly highest oil content in linseed and oil yield was recorded under sole linseed (T_1) over the remaining treatments. Among the row arrangements, significantly highest oil content and oil yield was recorded under row ratio of 4:1 with 80% linseed + 20% dwarf field pea (T_8) over other row arrangements and oil content was statistically at par with row ratio of 3:1 with 75% linseed + 25% dwarf pea (T_3). Oil yield is a complex character determined by interaction between genetic and environmental factors and has a direct dependence on seed yield and oil content. This was mainly owing to higher seed yield and oil content in linseed. This is in agreement with the earlier findings of Kumawat *et al.* (2014).

J PURE APPL MICROBIO, 10(4), DECEMBER 2016.

Nutrient content

The row arrangement significantly influenced N, P and K concentration in seed and straw of linseed (Table 2). It was significantly highest with row ratio of 1:4 with 20% linseed + 80% dwarf field pea (T_{11}) and it were at par with row ratio of 1:4 with 25% linseed + 75% dwarf field pea (T_z) and row ratio of 2:4 with 33.34% linseed + 66.67% dwarf field pea (T_{12}) . The row arrangements significantly influenced N, P and K concentration in seed and straw of dwarf field pea (Table 2). Row ratio of 4:1 with 80% linseed + 20% dwarf field pea (T_o) recorded significantly highest N, P and K content in seed and straw and it were at par with row ratio of 3:1 with 75% linseed + 25% dwarf pea (T_2) , row ratio of 3:2 with 60% linseed + 40 dwarf field pea (T_{4}) , row ratio of 4:2 with 66.67% linseed +33.34% dwarf field pea (T_o) and row ratio of 4:3 with 57.15% linseed + 42.86% dwarf field pea (T_{10}). In general nutrient content were decrease with increasing dry matter production due to portioning of nutrient. These findings confirmed those obtained by Kumawat et al. (2014).

Nutrient uptake

The N, P and K depletion by linseed increased with increasing plant population and higher biomass production and significantly highest depletion of nutrients (N, P and K) by linseed were recorded under sole linseed (Table 3). Among the intercropping association significantly highest N, P and K depletion was recorded under row ratio of 4:1 with 80% linseed + 20% dwarf field pea (T_s). The N, P and K depletion by dwarf field pea increased with increasing plant population and higher biomass production and significantly highest depletion of nutrients (N, P and K) by dwarf field pea were recorded under sole dwarf field pea (Table 3). Among the intercropping association significantly highest N, P and K depletion was recorded under row ratio of 1:4 with 20% linseed + 80% dwarf field pea (T_{11}) due to higher dry matter production which govern the uptake. These findings are in close agreement with Rahimi et al. (2011).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the head department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, BHU, Varanasi, for encouraging such research and providing necessary facilities for the successful completion of research work.

REFERENCES

- Bahadur, S., Verma, S.K., Prasad, S.K., Madane, A.J., Maurya, S.P., Gaurav, Verma, V.K., Sihag, S.K. Eco-friendly weed management for sustainable crop production-A review. *J. Crop* and Weed, 2015; **11**(1):181-189.
- 2. Buranov, A.U., Ross, K.A., Mazza, G. Isolation and characterization of lignins extracted from flax seed using pressurized aqueous ethanol, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2010; **101**:7446-55.
- FAOSTAT. Statistical database of the food and agriculture of the United Nations. 2012; http:// www.fao.org.
- Kumawat, A., Pareek, B.L., Yadav, R.S., Rathore, P.S. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, quality and nutrient uptake in Indian mustard in arid zone of Rajsthan. *Ind. J. Agon.*, 2014; **59**(1): 119-123.
- 5. Parihar, A.K., Dixit, G.P., Pathak, V., Singh, D. Assessment of the genetic components and trait associations in diverse set of field pea genotypes. *Bangladesh J. Bot.*, 2014; **43**(3): 323-330.
- PC Report. Project coordinator report, AICRP on MULLaRP. 2012; IIPR, Kanpur, India.
- Rahimi, M.M., Nourmohamadi, G., Ayneband, A., Afshar, E. Moafpourian, G. Study on effect of planting date and nitrogen levels on yield,

yield components and fatty acids of linseed. *World App. Sci. J.*, 2011; **12**(1): 59-67.

- Sharma, J.C., Tomar, S.S., Prakash, C., Shivran, R.K. Effect of fertility levels, irrigation schedules and varieties on NPK content and its uptake in linseed. *Adv. in Life Sci.*, 2012; 1(1): 47–49.
- Simopoulos, A.P. The importance of the ratio omega-6/omega-3 essential fatty acids. *Biomed.* & *Pharmaco.*, 2002; 56: 365–379.
- Singh, A.K., Shrivastava, C.P., Joshi, N. Evaluation of integrated pest management against gram pod borer in chickpea. *I. J. Agric. Sci.*, 2009; **79**: 49-52.
- Singh, R., Malik, J.K., Thenua, O.V.S., Jat, H.S. Effect of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer on productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of pigeonpea + mungbean intercropping system. *Leg. Res.*, 2013; **36**(1): 41-48
- Tripathi, A.K., Shukla, N.S., Kumar, K., Pandey, S.N. Production potential, weed dynamics and energetics of maize + greengram/ blackgram intercropping system. *J. of Food Leg.* , 2010; 23(1): 30-34.
- Tyagi, N., Singh, A.K., Rai, V.P., Kumar, S., Srivastava, C.P. Genetic variability studies for lodging resistance and yield attributes in pea. *J.* of Food Leg., 2012; 25(3):179-182.
- Wiley, R.W., Rao, M.R. A competitive ratio for quantifying competitions between intercrops. *Exp. Agric.*, 1980; 16(2): 117-125.