Activities of Antibiotics against Drug-Resistant Clinical Nosocomial Pathogens
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Multiple surveillance studies have demonstrated that resistance among prevalent pathogens is increasing at an alarming rate, leading to greater patient morbidity and mortality from nosocomial infections. Among 50 patients isolated nosocomial pathogens are Staphylococcus sp, Streptococcus sp, Psedomonas sp, E.coli, Klebsiella sp, Enterobacter sp and Bacillus sp. Of these organisms Staphylococcus sp (35%) and Klebsiella sp (30%) are the dominant microbial pathogens. The drug resistance and sensitivity pattern of nosocomial bacterial pathogens were tested against antibiotics such as Ampicillin, Amoxycillin, Cefazolin, Gentamicin, Neomycin, Rifampicin, Tetracycline, and Vancomycin. In Staphylococcus sp shows highly resistant against Gentamicin (19mm) and Neomycin (24mm) was highly controlling and sensitivity for Rifampicin (6mm) and Vancomycin (8mm) but susceptible for Amoxycillin, Cefazolin and Tetracycline. Klebsiella show highly resistant against Cefazolin (18mm) and Neomycin (24mm) was highly controlling and sensitivity for Amoxycillin (6mm) and Vancomycin (2mm) but susceptible for Rifampicin and Tetracycline. Appropriate antibiotic selection, surveillance systems, and effective infection-control procedures are key partners in limiting antibiotic-resistant pathogen occurrence and spread.
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Nosocomial infections are defined as infections occurring in patients after admission to hospital. Not only patients but even staff members of hospitals who have contact with patients can suffer from nosocomial infections. It was reported that around 5% of patients who were hospitalized acquired infection in the hospital (Sainanli, 1999). Much more commonly, patients acquired hospital infections with common organisms because of their own great susceptibility to infection or because of procedures carried out in the hospital, (Werdegar et al., 1985). Some examples of inanimate exogenous sources are food, urinary catheters, intravenous and respiratory therapy equipment and water systems (Prescott, 1980).

The world health organization (WHO) has recognized the serious global problem of nosocomial infections. Mortality associated with nosocomial infections also difficult to determine, but in united states, it was estimated that of 2,00,000 deaths in patients with nosocomial infections, 20,000 were attributable directly to the infections and further 60,000 it contributed to death. The cost of nosocomial infections was approximately 120 million per year in England and Wales in 1987 based on an average additional stay of days for each hospital acquire infections (Crook and bowler, 1982). In the hospital, surveillance is utilized to determine endemic or back ground rates of infection, to identify high – risk locations and procedure and to detect a significant rise in the rate of infection above endemic rates, indicating
an epidemic that requires immediate investigation. More commonly, surveillance is used to set priorities for infection control activities (Farber and Wenzel 1996). Nosocomial infection was well protected from colonization by microorganisms but this nosocomial region has no resident flora but may transiently contaminate by inhaled organisms (Nester et al., 2000).

India has shown the β- Streptococci and Staphylococcus pneumonia are the most predominant bacteria isolated from nosocomial infection cases. Risk factors like low birth weight, malnutrition and poor sanitation influence the incident and severity of nosocomial infection in India. Pseudomonas sp. is a Gram negative mobile microorganism, which was responsible to cause nosocomial infection (Aka et al., 1997). An outbreak of gastro enteritis due to vibrio parahaemolyticus (Stephen and Lalitha 1993) studied an outbreak of Serratia marcescens infections, among obstetric patients (Lalitha et al., 1983). A comparative evaluation of two indigenously developed tests for rapid detection of group A Streptococci directly from throat swabs (Rita & Awdhesh 1997). A combination of selective surveillance methods for hospital acquired infections in a 350 bed hospital over a period of 7 years (Anandita and Bibhabati 2000). In investigated the effect of fumigation on bacteriology of operation theatres (Shubhangi kamat et al., 1997).


**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection of nosocomial samples**

**Preparation of Throat Swab samples**

Sterile tongue depressor was used to approach the swabbing area. A throat swab specimen was collected properly in order to avoid contamination with the saliva. A sterile cotton wool swab was placed in a sterile tube for the collection of the specimen. The tongue was depressed and two swabs were passed well over the tonsils and tonsillar fossa and also over the posterior pharyngeal wall. From those two swabs, one was used for smear preparation and other was used for culturing the nosocomial microbial pathogens.

After collecting the samples, the swabs were introduced into 10ml of sterile Brain Heart Infusion broth. The inoculated BHI broths were placed in the ice box and transported to the laboratory immediately for further analysis.

**Enumeration of the Nosocomial Pathogens**

**Plating Procedure**

The inoculated BHI broths were incubated in a bacteriological incubator at 37°C for 24 h to allow the growth of nosocomial pathogens. The specific medium such as Blood Agar, Mac Conkey Agar, Mannitol salt agar, Eosin Methylene Blue Agar (EMB) and Mannitol salt agar, medium were poured into Petri plates. The plates were allowed to solidify. After solidification one loopful of sample from BHI broth were streaked on Blood agar, Mac conkey agar, EMB agar and Mannitol salt agar individually. The blood agar, Mac Conkey agar plates, EMB agar plate and Mannitol salt agar plates were incubated in bacteriological incubator at 37°C for 24 h.

**Identification and biochemical test for the nosocomial pathogens isolated**

**Morphological analysis**

**Gram staining:**

Gram staining technique was used to separate bacteria into two groups such as, Gram positive and Gram negative. Bacterial smear was prepared in a clean glass slide. The smear was flooded with crystal violet and allowed it for 1 minute. The excess stain was washed in tap water gently. Then the smear was flooded with gram’s iodine for 1 minute and washed. Then 95 % ethyl alcohol was added over slide drop wise for 20 to 30 seconds and the slide was washed under tap water.
Then the slide was flooded with counter stain saffranin for 1 to 2 minutes, and was washed with tap. Slide was examined using microscope under oil immersion objective lens.

**Motility**

The hanging drop technique was followed to observe the motility of the organisms. The petroleum jelly was applied at the four corners of the cover slip with the help of applicator stick. One loop full of overnight culture was placed on the centre of the cover slip, with sterile inoculation loop and inverted the cavity glass slide. The slide was examined under microscope. The movements of the microorganisms were taken as a positive result.

**Biochemical tests**

**Indole test**

Indole is a nitrogen – containing compound formed from the degradation of the amino acid tryptophan by certain bacteria. Tryptophan present in the culture media was acted by the enzyme tryptophanase and converted into indole, skatol and indole acetic acid. Indole reacted with aldehydes to produce a red coloured product. The microorganisms were grown in tryptophan rich medium and tested for the presence of indole. Tryptophan broth was prepared and a loopful of the culture was inoculated into indole tube and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Positive results were identified by adding 1 ml of kovacs reagent and a red coloured ring formed due to the production of indole.

**Methyl red test**

Methyl red test was used to identify the change in the pH of the medium. Certain microbes fermented glucose to pyruvate and produce mixed acids and other end products. Because of the abundant acid production the final PH of the broth dropped below 4 to5 which can be detected by pH indicators. MR-VP medium was prepared and 5ml of medium was transferred into the test tubes and sterilized. One loop full of the culture was inoculated into MR-VP medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Formation of red colour by adding one drop of methyl red reagent indicated the positive reaction.

**Voges proskauer test**

Some organism’s fermented glucose; pyruvate and produce butylene glycol and acetone which were more neutral in nature were noticed. One loop full of the culture was inoculated into MR – VP medium and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Few drops of VP reagent were added in the 24 h incubated broth. The appearance of bright brown colour indicated positive reaction.

**Citrate test**

Some of the pathogenic bacteria were capable of utilizing citrate as the sole source of carbon and mono ammonium phosphate as the sole source of nitrogen. Simmon Citrate medium was prepared and sterilized. Then 5ml of medium was transferred into sterile test tube and kept in slanting position. A loop full of culture was streaked on the slant and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Change of colour from green to blue indicated positive reaction.

**Triple sugar iron test**

Growth of the organism on the TST slant indicated the type of sugar fermented and in addition, identifying the hydrogen sulphide producer. With acid production the colour of the phenol red indicator turned yellow. An alkaline reaction of the medium was indicated by the purple colour. Production of hydrogen sulphide was indicated by the formation of black colour as hydrogen sulphide combines with ferrous ammonium sulphate. TSI medium was prepared and dispensed 5ml portion into the test tubes and sterilized and allowed it to solidify in the slant position. A loop full of culture was streaked onto the slant and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The appearance of orange colour to red or yellow and formation of hydrogen sulphide gas indicated the positive result.

**Catalase test**

Most aerobic organisms were capable of splitting hydrogen peroxide to release free oxygen. The release of oxygen gas can be seen readily by the white bubble if a few drops of hydrogen peroxide were added to the culture. A pinch of organisms or a colony was taken in a sterile stick and dipped in the hydrogen peroxide. Effervescence of hydrogen peroxide allowed that the organism was catalase positive.

**Oxidase test**

A loop full of culture was rubbed with disc having the reagent tetra methyl paraphenylene
diamine. The disc colour change within 10 seconds was noted. The appearance of blue colour indicated the presence of oxidase enzyme. Peptone water was prepared aseptically and the culture was inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. 2ml of 24 h old culture was removed and introduced into another test tube of 10 ml capacity aseptically. 0.5ml of the kovacs reagent was added along the side of the test tube to form a layer at the tip. Positive reaction was indicated by the formation of a pink ring at the junction of the solution.

**Urease test**

A loop full of the isolated colonies were inoculated on urea agar and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. After incubation the appearance of pink colouration was taken as positive and recorded.

**Coagulase test (Slide test)**

A drop of saline (0.85%Nacl) solution was placed on a clean microscopic slide. The test culture was emulsified with it. After emulsification, 1 drop of plasma was placed on the surface of the glass slide. The strain was agglutinated and developed a smooth, milky suspension on the slide.

**Antibiotic sensitivity test**

A total of 7 bacterial genera were isolated from hospitalized patients. In vitro sensitivity of all isolates was determined by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method. A swab was made with the 24 h broth culture uniformly over the surface of the Muller Hinton Agar plate. The antibiotic disc from the container was removed using the sterile forceps and placed it gently on the surface of the inoculated plate. The disc was tapped gently with the forceps to fix in a position. Likewise other antibiotic discs were also placed in the same way on the surface of the culture inoculated agar plates. The Petri plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The antimicrobial activities of different antibiotics were recorded by measuring the inhibition zone.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total 50 throat samples were screened from patients of various hospitals at Coimbatore. The total microbial strains isolated from patients at various hospitals were illustrated in (Table 1). Of these 40 samples showed positive for the incidence of nosocomial pathogens. The collected samples were streaked on Blood agar, Mac conkey agar and EMB agar for the isolation of nosocomial pathogens.

**Table 1. Incident of nosocomial pathogen from the nosocomial infection among patient**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Number of positive isolates</th>
<th>Percentage of nosocomial isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus sp</em></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Streptococcus sp</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>E.coli</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas sp</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella sp</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter sp</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Bacillus sp</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Morphological characteristics of various bacterial genera isolated from nosocomial infection.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>Gram staining</th>
<th>Motility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus sp</em></td>
<td>+ve cocci</td>
<td>Non motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Streptococcus sp</em></td>
<td>+ve cocci</td>
<td>Non motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>E.coli</em></td>
<td>-ve rod</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas sp</em></td>
<td>-ve rod</td>
<td>Non motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Klebsiella sp</em></td>
<td>-ve rod</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter sp</em></td>
<td>-ve rod</td>
<td>Non motile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Bacillus sp</em></td>
<td>-ve rod</td>
<td>Motile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Showing Biochemical characteristics of various nosocomial bacterial pathogens isolated from the patient of various hospital sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolates</th>
<th>Indole</th>
<th>Methyl red</th>
<th>Vogus proskauer</th>
<th>Citrate</th>
<th>TSI</th>
<th>Catalase</th>
<th>Oxidase</th>
<th>Urease</th>
<th>Coagulase</th>
<th>Type of haemolysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.coli</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>K/K</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>K/K</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacter sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>A/K</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillus sp</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>A/K</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
<td>-ive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Showing antibiotic sensitivity of *Staphylococcus sp* against antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of inhibition</th>
<th>Appearance of zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ampicilin</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Amoxicilin</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cefazolin</td>
<td>Cz</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Neomycin</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tetracycline</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>Va</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 - 17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The bacterial genera of nosocomial pathogens primarily comprised of Staphylococcus sp, streptococcus sp, E.coli, Bacillus sp, Pseudomonas sp, Klebsiella sp and Enterobacter sp were isolated and identified from throat samples collected from patients. Among the various bacterial isolated Staphylococcus sp and Klebsiella sp found to be predominant microflora and this was followed by Staphylococcus sp, followed by E.coli, followed by Pseudomonas sp, Enterobacter sp, and Bacillus sp. The similar trend was observed by several authors.

The morphological characteristics of various bacteria isolated from nosocomial pathogens was identified by Gram staining and motility test are presented in (Table 2). The present study showed the dominance of non-motile gram positive cocci belongs to the genera of Staphylococcus sp and streptococcus sp. The present study also revealed the occurrence of E.coli and Pseudomonas sp which were motile gram negative rod. Non motile gram negative rod belongs to the genera of Klebsiella sp and Enterobacter sp. Motile gram positive rod belongs to the genera of Bacillus sp.

Antibiotic sensitivity test was employed to test the susceptibility of Staphylococcus against various antibiotics and their result shown in table 4 and fig 1. The result of the present study showed that the dominant nosocomial pathogens, Staphylococcus sp isolated from patients were found to be highly resistant to Neomycin and Gentamicin. Moderate resistant to Ampicilin, Rifampicin, and Vancomycin. Table 5 and fig 2 illustrates that the antibiotic sensitivity of Klebsiella sp isolated from various hospital sources against different antibiotics. It had been observed that these strains were highly resistant to Neomycin. Moderate resistant to Ampicilin, Amoxycilin, Cefazolin and Gentamicin.

Ram et al., (2000) isolated Staphylococcus aureus and E.coli from blood and urine samples collected from blood and urine samples collected from hospital attached medical college at Ludhiana. Richard et al., (2000) found that Staphylococcus aureus was the most common pathogen present in medical surgical intensive care unit in united states Szewezyk et al., (2000) reported that the presence of predominant Staphylococcus sp in intensive care unit. This coagulase negative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Diameter of zone of inhibition</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Am</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Sensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ac</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cz</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Va</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Resistant</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 5. Showing antibiotic sensitivity of Klebsiella sp against antibiotics. |
Staphylococcus sp causes a significant number of infections especially in immunocompromised patients including premature neonates.

In the present investigation Streptococcus was found to be predominant organisms isolated from the throat swab of patients. Dimibile et al., (1990) observed that the α-streptococci was isolated from the infected patient Streptococcus sp was not a well recognized cause of soft tissue infection. In our present study, Klebsiella sp was the fifth predominant organism from the throat swabs of patients. As observed in the present investigation. Paniara et al., (2002) isolated Klebsiella sp strains from ICU. Durmaz et al., 2000 observed that Nosocomial Infections are common in patients.

Staphylococcus sp was resistant to Amoxycin, Rifampicin, Cefazolin, Tetracycline and Vancomycin. The similar trend was observed by several workers. According to Szewczy et al., (2000) found that Staphylococcus aureus were resistant to several antibiotics and 97% were resistant to Methicillin. Some strains were susceptible to Pencillin and Amoxycillin. In contrast Streptococcus epidermidis, a notable Nosocomial pathogen in some Intensive Care Unit, had developed very diverse pattern of antibiotic resistance. The genuses determine antibiotic
resistance is often carried by extrachromosomal plasmids that can be transferred among bacterial species. In the present study the genus *Klebsiella sp* is found to be resistant to Ampicillin and Cefazolin. Panita (2000) isolated 7 multiresistant *Klebsiella sp* species from ICU and these isolates had different levels of resistance to β lactum. In the present study the genus Enterobacter was found to be resistant.
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