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Abstract

Indian lilac or neem (*Azadirachta indica*) is found in tropical and subtropical regions of the Indian subcontinent. Each part of the tree is a source of various phytochemicals. Neem gum is an exudate from mature parts of the plant stem. Biochemically, it has an acidic pH range (5–6) and is composed of monosaccharides, saponins, phenols, and tannins. This study aimed to elucidate the diversity of neem gum-associated microflora through high throughput metagenomics approach using 16S rRNA variable region sequencing. The bacterial community of neem gum was dominated by *Firmicutes* (~82%), *Proteobacteria* (~18%), and *Actinobacteria* (~0.02%). Among the genera, *Lactococcus* was found to be the most dominant bacterium. The predominance of *Lactococcus* in neem gum is probably due to its acidic nature, which provides a suitable microenvironment for its proliferation. In addition, *Lactococcus* and beneficial microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Pantoaea*, *Klebsiella*, and *Methyllobacterium* were also present in the gum. This study highlights the fact that neem gum can be exploited as a unique source of microorganisms for biotechnological and agricultural applications.
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INTRODUCTION

Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) is a tree native to India that has been used as a traditional medicine since ancient times. Neem gum is a natural resin extracted from neem trees, which is obtained by tapping the tree trunk and solidifying it upon exposure to air. Neem gum is used in various applications, viz: food additives, cosmetic products, and as a traditional remedy for various ailments. Studies have shown that neem gum has antifungal, antibacterial, and anti-inflammatory properties and is a good source of carbohydrates and amino acids. Neem gum has been used in traditional medicine for its health-promoting effects and recent research has confirmed its benefits, including its ability to improve gut health and boost the immune system. Despite its potential health benefits, more research is needed to fully understand the composition and properties of neem gum as well as its potential risks and side effects. However, its natural origin and low toxicity make it an attractive alternative to synthetic additives and remedies. Tannins, also present in neem gum, are known for their astringent and antimicrobial effects. In addition, neem gum is rich in polysaccharides, which are complex sugars that have been shown to have immune-boosting and anti-inflammatory effects.

Various endophytic microflora has been isolated from various parts of neem plants. These microbes have also been characterized for their secondary metabolite-production capabilities.

Plant-associated microbes play an important role in plant growth and physiology, and thus, directly and indirectly influence humans. These microbes produce many useful compounds that are important for agriculture and industry. Neems have been widely used to investigate endophytes and their secondary metabolites. The microbiota present in each part of neem plants, such as the bark, root, stem, and leaves, are well known, but with respect to the neem gum microbiome, no study has been conducted yet. For in-depth analysis of plant-related microbiomes, the metagenomic approach offers the necessary platform for a robust high-throughput technique. The metagenomics approach has greatly helped explore the actual microbial biodiversity found in the atmosphere. Besides being a remarkable reservoir of novel genes and enzymes, herbal compounds, bioactive substances, and bioprocesses, the microbiota can contribute appreciably to the direction of a sustainable environment.

Realizing the potential of neem gum as a source of unique microflora and genes, we explored its microbiome using a metagenomic approach to decipher the microbial community structure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and genomic DNA isolation

Neem gum was collected under sterile conditions from a neem plant located in Maunath Bhanj (25.90°N, 83.49°E), Uttar Pradesh, India, and processed for DNA isolation. The collected samples were then dissolved in sterile saline. The dissolved sample was filtered on 0.2-micron nylon filter paper using a vacuum filter chamber, and after filtration, the filter paper was placed in Lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 4°C and sonicated at 4°C by pulse on for 5 s and pulse off for 30 s for 9 min. Sonicated samples were centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, and the collected pellet was dissolved in 2 ml of TE buffer (Himedia, India), and DNA was extracted with the commercially available PureLink™ Microbiome DNA Purification (Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific) Kit as per the manufacturer protocol. DNA purity was checked by determining the A260/280 ratio using a Nanodrop.

Preparation of 2x 300 MiSeq library Cluster generation and sequencing

Bacterial 16S rRNA gene hypervariable regions V3-V4 were amplified using the V3V4F (CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG) and V3V4R (GACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC) primers. The primers were modified to include Illumina sequencing adapters as overhangs. 25 ng of DNA was used by PCR using the KAPA HiFi Hot Start Ready Mix. The PCR involved an initial denaturation of 95°C for 5 min followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The amplicons were purified using amplification beads to remove unused primers. Libraries were
quantified using the Qubit dsDNA High-Sensitivity Assay Kit. Sequencing was performed using an Illumina MiSeq with a 2 × 300 PE V3 sequencing kit.

**Data analysis**

Quality control checked reads were imported into Mothur, pairs were aligned, and contigs were obtained. The contigs were screened for errors; the most effective ones between 300 bp and 550 bp were retained and contigs with ambiguous base calls were discarded. High-quality contigs were checked for identical sequences and redundant entries were merged. The filtered contigs were processed and classified into taxonomic outlines using the Silva v.128 database.

**RESULTS**

**Taxonomic distribution of bacterial flora in neem gum**

Taxonomic annotation of neem gum was performed to determine the composition of bacteria inherent to the niche. A rarefaction curve (Figure 1) shows the degree of diversity of a given variety of reads in a sample. Surprisingly, no significant compositional diversity of bacterial taxa was observed in neem gum. Alpha diversity (Figure 2) is an accurate measure of the relative abundance and richness of bacteria in a given sample.

**Distribution of bacterial phyla in neem gum**

The sample analysis revealed the presence of nine phyla. *Firmicutes* (~82%) were found to be the most dominant, followed by *Proteobacteria* (~18%), *Actinobacteria* (~0.02%), and other phyla such as *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Verrucomicrobia*, *Chloroflexi*, *Cyanobacteria*, and unclassified bacteria were (< 0.01%) (Figure 3, Figure 4a).

**Distribution of bacterial class in neem gum**

Distribution at the class level revealed the predominance of Bacilli (82%), followed by *Gamma-proteobacteria* (17%), *Betaproteobacteria* (0.4%), *Alpha-proteobacteria* (0.05%), *Actinomycetes* (0.02%), *Acidobacteria* (0.005%), and other classes such as *Sphingobacteria*, *Spartobacteria*, *Cyanobacteria*, and unclassified bacteria were (< 0.01%).
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**Figure 1.** Rarefaction curve
**Figure 2.** Alpha diversity measurements. It represents different Alpha diversity indices of which Chao1 and ACE represent the richness of the sample and Shannon, Simpson, Inv Simpson and Fisher represent both richness and relative abundance.

**Figure 3.** Top 10 Phylum abundance distribution.
Ktedonobacteria, and Cyanobacteria were equally distributed (0.002%) in the sample (Figure 4b).

**Distribution of order of bacterial flora in neem gum**

While investigating the order richness, nine bacterial orders viz. Lactobacillales (82%), Enterobacteriales (17%), Burkholderiales (0.4%), Bacillales (0.03%), Rhizobiales (0.03%), Rickettsiales (0.02%), Rhodospinllales (0.01%), Sphingomonadales (0.01%), Pseudomonadales (0.01%) were found to be dominant in the in sample.(Figure 4c).

**Distribution of bacterial genera in neem gum**

The top 20 genera were Lactococcus (82%), followed by Unclassified Enterobacteriaceae (10%), Pantoea (4%), and Kosakonia (3%) were
Figure 4. Distribution of bacteria at (a) Phylum level (b) class level (c) order level (d) Genus level
most abundant. (Figure 4d). Neem gum samples showed a high abundance of *Lactococcus*.

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to acquire a clear idea to define the presence of a diverse microbial population in neem gum. Neem gum can be used as a source of nutrients for microbial growth; microbes can digest the soluble polysaccharide content in neem gum. It was evident from the results that at the phylum level, members of *Firmicutes* were more abundant in neem gum than other phyla. Besides *Firmicutes*, the phyla *Proteobacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Verrucomicrobia* were identified. However, class-level diversity analysis suggested that members of Bacilli were predominant in neem gum. In neem gum samples, all taxonomic strata (phylum, class, order, family, and genus) indicated the presence of diverse genera. At the genus level, *Lactococcus* was predominantly present in the sample. Along with *Lactococcus*, other genera, such as *Pantoea*, *Kosakonia*, *Burkholderia-Paraburkholderia*, *Massilia*, *Shimwella*, *streptococcaceae*, *Klebsiella*, *Cronobacter*, *Weissella*, *Methylobacterium*, *Sodalis*, *Paenibacterium*, *Sporolactobacillus*, *Sphingomonas*, *Citrobacter*, and *Corynebacterium* were also detected in the samples. The predominance of *Lactococcus* in neem gum is probably due to its acidic nature, which provides a suitable microenvironment for its proliferation. Earlier studies have shown that *Lactococcus* exerts antagonistic activity against many pathogens by producing secondary metabolites. Other than *Lactococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Burkholderia* showed antagonistic activity against pathogens. *Pantoea*, *Klebsiella*, and *Methylobacterium* have been reported as potential plant growth promoters and have shown beneficial roles in agriculture and industry. *Pseudomonas* and *Pentoea* have been reported to be biological control agents against various pathogens. They produce various antibiotics such as pantocins, herbicolins, microcins, and phenazines. *Pseudomonas* spp. are metabolically versatile, producing various secondary metabolites. *Pseudomonas* produces several surface-active lipopeptides (LPs). Because they produce a range of LPs, different *Pseudomonas* spp. have been studied in recent years for their potential as biocontrol agents and for enhancing industrial enzyme production in extreme environments. Metagenomic analysis indicated that the colonization of the microbial community in neem gum is a complex consequence and requires thorough investigation to understand the mechanism and guiding forces of colonization. In summary, it can be concluded that neem gum is a good source of diverse microorganisms which can have multiple agricultural and biotechnological applications.
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