Public Health Risk Assessment of the Door Handles of the Community Pharmacies in Qassim Region, Saudi Arabia
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Abstract

Door handles are being reported to harbor a diverse group of microorganisms, mainly bacteria. Presence of pathogenic and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in the door handles carry risk to the health of the public. For this reason, a study was carried in the Qassim region of Saudi Arabia by isolating bacteria from the pharmacy door handles from four different areas. Total 100 samples were collected by wiping the door handles with a sterile cotton swab soaked in sterile water. Microorganisms were isolated using Blood agar and MacConkey agar and identified following standard microbiological procedure. Siemens MicroScan Walkaway system was used for determination of antibiotic susceptibility pattern. In total, 301 bacteria from 13 bacterial species were isolated and identified. The predominant bacterial species include Staphylococcus spp. 56.48% followed by Bacillus spp. 12.29% and Micrococcus spp. 10.30%. Gram-negative bacteria like Shigella sonnei and Salmonella paratyphiA were also isolated. Being the most predominant species, Antibiotic resistance pattern of 39 Staphylococcus spp. were determined. 38.46% of the Staphylococcus spp. were found to be resistant to Cefoxitin, and 30.76% were beta-lactamase producing. The results also indicated that about one-third of Staphylococcus spp. were methicillin resistant. The door handles of pharmacies in the Qassim region carry risk to the health of the public. Proper hygienic measures are recommended for the public health safety until doors are made automatic and touch-free.
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INTRODUCTION
The transfer efficiency of microbes to the hands is higher from hard and nonporous surfaces such as acrylic, glass, ceramic tile, laminate, stainless steel, and granite as compared to the transfer from porous surfaces. The door handles of community pharmacies are usually made of nonporous surfaces, which raises the chance of possible transmission of potentially pathogenic and multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria in the community. Door’s location, design and mode of use influence the degree of handle colonization.

The risk of bacterial transmission to the hands is proportional to its duration of survival on the handle, which depends on the environmental factors like temperature and humidity, the presence of organic matter on the handle, the ability of bacteria to form the biofilms and standard cleaning practices. Factors like the frequency of site contamination, the level of pathogen excreted by the host, the virulence of the bacteria and immune status of a person in contact determine the risk of disease transmission from the contaminated handle. However, the contribution of such microbial transfer to the transmission of diseases is unclear.

Bacteria like Enterococcus spp. (including VRE), Staphylococcus aureus (including MRSA), Streptococcus pyogenes, Acinetobacter spp., Escherichia coli, Klebsiella spp., Shigella spp, can survive on the dry surfaces for months. Some bacteria can only survive for days like Haemophilus influenzae and Proteus Vulgaris. The difference in the survival between multi-drug resistant and susceptible strains of Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus spp. is not significant. Gram-negative bacteria had longer survival than gram-positive bacteria.

Earlier studies showed the most commonly identified bacteria colonized the door handles in public bathrooms include Staphylococcus aureus, S. epidermidis, Proteus spp., Klebsiella, Enterobacter, Acinetobacter spp., and Gut normal flora such as Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli and Enterobacter.

In Saudi Arabia, the community pharmacies have closed glass doors with steel handles, and people need to hold/touch the handles to get in and out of the pharmacy. So, the pharmacy door handles, touched by people from all walk of life, can be a source of transmission of pathogens among people.

The present study was aimed to assess the public health risk from the pharmacy door handles by isolating and identifying the bacterial species and evaluating their antibacterial susceptibility patterns in Qassim region of Saudi Arabia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
This study was carried between February 2019 and April 2019, in the Department of Medical Laboratories, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Qassim University, Buraydah, Saudi Arabia.

Sample collection
Samples were collected from door handles of pharmacies from three different cities and countryside in Qassim: Buraydah, Unaizah, Alrass and countryside of Qassim. Twenty-five sets of samples were collected from each city. In total, 100 samples were collected by wiping the door handles with a sterile cotton swab soaked in sterile water.

Culturing
The samples were cultured by streaking onto Blood agar and MacConkey agar; incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Preliminary identification of bacterial isolates
Bacterial isolates were identified by both macroscopic examination of the colony characteristics including color, size, the elevation of margin and surface texture, and microscopic characteristics of bacterial cell such as morphology and arrangements visualized by gram staining and microscopy as well as on their ability to ferment lactose on MacConkey and hemolyze blood on blood agar.

Motility test
The hanging drop method was used for further identification of the Gram-negative rods.

Biochemical tests
API20 was carried out according to the manufacturer instructions (Biomeriux, France).

Confirmatory identification
MicroScan Walkaway System was used to confirm the identification of the isolated bacteria.

Determination of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern
For the isolated strains, antibacterial susceptibility patterns were tested using MicroScan Walkaway system. Cefoxitin screening was carried out.
out by disc diffusion method\(^9\), and β-lactamase production was assayed following the modified Perret’s iodometric assay\(^10\).

**RESULTS**

One hundred pharmacy’s door handles were sampled in total from four different areas. All 100 door handles from all pharmacies were found to be colonized with various types of bacteria. Three hundred and one (N=301) bacteria were isolated, which belong to 13 different species. The name of the species and their abundance is shown in (Table 1). Three dominant spp. were Staphylococcus spp. 56.48% followed by Bacillus spp. 12.29% and Micrococcus spp. 10.30%. Gram-negative bacteria like Shigella sonnei and Salmonella paratyphi A were also isolated (Table 1).

**City distribution of contaminations**

Pharmacy door handles from all four regions were almost equally contaminated with bacteria. There were no significant differences in the number of isolated bacteria from different regions. Among the 301 bacteria, the highest number of bacteria 26.25% were isolated from 25 door handles in Buraydah, and the lowest number of bacteria 23% were isolated from 25 door handles in Alrass (Fig. 1).

**Antibiotic resistance pattern of the isolated staphylococcal spp.**

Staphylococcus spp. were the most prevalent 56%, (Table 1) among the bacterial spp. found in the door handles of pharmacies in Qassim region. Moreover, Staphylococcus spp. is the most significant among the isolated bacteria as a pathogen. Hence, Multi-drug resistance pattern of Staphylococcus spp. was determined by using 19 different antibiotics. In addition to that, cefoxitin resistance pattern and beta-lactamase production ability of the staphylococcal spp. were determined (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Isolated bacterial Species(N=13) and their relative abundance (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the Species</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Staphylococcus spp.</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>56.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bacillus spp.</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Micrococcus spp.</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Panathea spp.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Acinetobacter spp.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Enterobacter spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Shigella sonnei</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Serratia plymuthica</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Weeksella virosa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Klebsiella rhinoscleromatis</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Rhizobium radiobacter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Salmonella paratyphi A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Cefoxitin test and beta lactamases for Staphylococcus spp. (N=39)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cefoxitin screen test</th>
<th>Beta lactamase</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. haemolyticus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. auricularis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. capitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. hominis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. epidermidis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. stimulans</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. warneri</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. sciuri</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. hyicus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** City distribution of bacterial contamination in Qassim region
Among the (N=39) *Staphylococcus* spp., 92.31% showed antibiotic resistance (Fig. 2). Antibiotic resistance pattern of 10 *Staphylococcus* spp. was determined by using 19 antibiotics. *S. epidermidis, S. haemolyticus, S. hominis, S. auricularis* were found to be resistant to antibiotics from more than three different groups. Thus, they are multi-drug resistant. Among 39 *Staphylococcus* spp., 38.46% of the *Staphylococcus* spp. were found to be resistant to Cefoxitin, and 30.76% were beta-lactamase-producing (Table 2). This means that about one-third of *Staphylococcus* spp. were methicillin-resistant.

**Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of gram-negative bacteria**

Thirty-seven (N=37) Gram-negative bacteria were also evaluated for antibiotic susceptibility, 21.62% and 13.51% were resistant and intermediate resistant, respectively (Fig. 3). Three *S. sonnei* strains (N=3) were isolated from two different locations; two were evaluated for antibiotic susceptibility. Both had intermediate resistance to Ampicillin with minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 16 µg/mL.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study conducted on pharmacy door handles in Saudi Arabia for microbial contamination. This study found that all the pharmacy door handles from all three towns and countryside were contaminated. There is a negligible difference in colonization rate between the four locations (Fig. 1).

The results also revealed that pharmacy door handles were colonized with a diverse group of bacterial species. Most of the isolated species 81.41% were gram-positive, whereas 18.59% were found to be gram-negative. Among the gram-positive bacteria, three major species are *Staphylococcus, Microoccus* and *Bacillus*. All these three species are found in soil, air and water, nose, and skin. From these sources and places, these bacteria usually can find their destination to hands and then to door handles and found in the door handles of pharmacy. *Staphylococcus* and *Bacillus* cause disease and *Microoccus* is an opportunistic pathogen\(^{11,12}\). Thus, their presence in the pharmacy door handles is a threat to the health of the people coming to the pharmacy for buying medicine.

Pathogenic gram-negative bacteria like *Shigella sonnei* and *Salmonella paratyphi A* were reported in this study. *Salmonella* spread through the feco-oral route, it is usually transmitted by food and water, and rarely from person to person. The risk of infection increases in immunocompromised
persons. Three *Salmonella paratyphi* A were identified, two of which were isolated from pharmacies near to a clinic. The first case of *Rhizobium radiobacter* was published in 1980 as a case of prosthetic valve endocarditis. It is a motile, aerobic, gram-negative plant pathogen that is usually found in soil. Most of the reported cases in humans are in immunocompromised situations with central venous catheters. In this study, two *Rhizobium radiobacter* were identified. One was isolated from a pharmacy door handle next to a plant nursery in Alrass, the other from Unaizah main street (Table 1). All these results of the present study agreed with previous studies indicating the possibility of contamination of solids, such as handles of home doors and office doors, with opportunistic and pathogenic bacteria.

Staphylococcus spp. were the most abundant bacterial spp. Among the bacteria found in the samples, and they showed antibiotic resistance to several antibiotics (Fig. 2). This finding is like the findings of many other studies which found multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus* in the door handles of different settings, such as hospitals. One-third of the *Staphylococcal* isolates were found methicillin-resistant. These findings are also supported by previous studies elsewhere. Gram-negative isolates showed higher resistance to Ampicillin and Aztreonam, followed by Amoxicillin/clavulanate, Cefoxitin and Meropenem.

This study demonstrated that pharmacies door handles were colonized with pathogenic and multi-drug resistance bacteria, which can act as a potential source of direct bacterial transmission to the community. Community awareness on the hand hygiene should be raised, and the efficacy of standard cleaning of the door handles should be revised. The use of automatic doors is highly recommended to reduce cross-contamination. Further studies on the biofilm formation, production of enterotoxin and regular surveillance are recommended to monitor public health risk from pharmacy door handles.

CONCLUSION

A diverse group of bacteria colonizes all the door handles. *Staphylococcus, Micrococcus* and *Bacillus* are the three predominant spp. of bacteria found in the door handles of pharmacy in the Qassim region. The bacteria are resistant to a significant number of antibiotics, and one-third of them are methicillin-resistant as revealed by cefoxitin test and beta-lactamase production. Thus, the door handles of pharmacy in this region pose a threat to public health. Proper hygienic measures should be taken until doors are made automatic so that people do not need to touch or hold the door handles.
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