**In vitro** Evaluation of Antibacterial, Cytotoxic and Adherence Studies of Selected Commercial Probiotics
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**Abstract**

There is increasing scientific evidence and commercial interest for using probiotics for eliminating and handling of specific diseases. Probiotics can be evaluated for its role and performance against isolated pathogens from contaminating sources. The present work reports on invitro antimicrobial activity of commercial selected probiotics against pathogenic microbe Vibrio parahaemolyticus. The work also describes cytotoxic activities using MTT assay and adherence studies of selected probiotics. Results for the studies showed maximum zone of inhibition 13.66±0.46mm in probiotic enteroplus,12.33±0.93mm in lactobacillus (NCIM2056) and 10.66±0.93mm in Avant Bact. Cytotoxicity was expressed as IC50(µg/ml) values, observed on CaCO cell lines for different probiotics. Avant Bact showed a IC50 value of 104.7745, Lactobacillus (NCIM2056) a value of 58.13223 and Enteroplus a value of 50.09716. These values expressed different safety aspects of probiotics used for study. Finally the adherence study was done to check probiotic colonizing capacity. The probiotics showed varied adherence capacity against caco cell lines. Enteroplus has % adhesion of 10.25±0.74, Avant Bact. 7.25±0.82 and Lactobacillus (NCIM2056) 7.5±1.12. In conclusion antimicrobial results show importance of probiotics to be used against specific gastro intestinal diseases. Cytotoxicity determines safety aspects of probiotics and adherence study determines probiotic as a promising candidate for in vivo studies.
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INTRODUCTION

The gastrointestinal tract (GIT) of humans are associated with beneficial bacteria within our food supply. Probiotics being beneficial exhibit symbiotic relation with GIT, which has an impact on health and wellbeing. The gut microbiota exhibits beneficial effects on the host by having a positive impact on epithelial proliferation, metabolism and survival. It plays various roles in managing microbial groups by restricting pathogen colonization by producing antimicrobials, increasing mucin production, competing for adhesion sites and optimization of microbiota composition. Disturbances in the GI tract occurring due to poor diet, infections and intake of antibiotics leads to dysbiosis. Probiotics have been sought as an alternative for reducing illness and preventing antibiotic associated diarrhoea and acute gastroenteritis. Some desirable properties for selecting them are- appropriateness, technological suitability, competitiveness, performance and functionality. Among these some specific actions such as antagonism towards pathogenic bacteria, production of antimicrobial substances, safety status recognition (nontoxic), evaluation of adherence and colonization can influence their selection.

Antibiotic resistance has revived interest in probiotic cultures for treatment of microbial infections. The concept of competitive exclusion was used to treat salmonella infection in chicken or preparing probiotic mixture to reduce pathogen carriage in treated animals. Research on controlling enteric pathogens in food to alter risk of food borne infections has been done in animals, but probiotics use targeting specific treatment for human disease has seldomly been done. Isolating pathogens from natural sources offers scope to study pathogen probiotic interaction as they are part of food chain.

Probiotics as a substitute to antibiotics have been successfully used in promoting aquaculture by improving water quality and increasing tolerance to stress. Aquaculture being part of our food chain may be contaminated with water borne infections of bacterial and viral nature. By using commercial probiotics, we can study their specific treatment by targeting these food or water borne infections.

Hence the current study was designed to evaluate commercial probiotics for their properties like invitro cytotoxicity, adherence study as well as their antimicrobial properties against isolated prawn pathogens Vibrio parahaemolyticus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of probiotic samples

Commercial probiotics Enteroplus and Avant Bact were collected from a pharmacy store in Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India and Lactobacillus sp. strain (NCIM2056) was obtained from National Centre for Industrial Microbiology, Pune, India.

Collection of Vibrio parahaemolyticus samples

The bacterial samples were obtained from hepatopancreas of infected shrimp collected from various aquaculture farms in Godavari dist. of Andhra Pradesh, India.

Isolation and Identification of Probiotic Bacteria

1gm of probiotic sample was suspended in saline, vortexed and 1ml of this sample was enriched with De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth. Incubation was done for 24h at 37°C. This enriched sample was used to inoculate MRS agar, observe colonies after incubation. Identification of probiotic samples was done as outlined in Bergey’s manual of systemic bacteriology.

Isolation and Identification of Vibrio parahaemolyticus

Bacteria obtained from hepatopancreas of shrimp were plated on Thiosulphate Citrate Bile salt Sucrose (TCBS) agar and incubated at 37°C for 24-48h. Identification and further characterization of Vibrio samples (V44,V45,V46) was done as described in Bergey’s manual of systemic bacteriology.

Invitro evaluation of efficacy of probiotics against Vibrio parahaemolyticus by agar diffusion method

Vibrio parahaemolyticus isolated from shrimp were used to study antibacterial ability of probiotic samples Enteroplus, Avant Bact and Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) using well diffusion technique. Vibrio samples isolated from plates were suspended in 2ml sterile saline, vortexed to create uniform suspension. Turbidity was adjusted within 15min of preparation. Probiotics overnight cultures were prepared, centrifuged at
3000 rpm for 5 min, supernatant mixed with saline solution and used for assay. Cipro stock solution was prepared suitable dilutions made and used as standard for assay.

**Invitro Cytotoxicity of commercial probiotic samples by MTT Assay**

Cytotoxic screening of probiotic samples was done using MTT assay. 100µl of different probiotic samples were prepared. Cell count of cell culture was adjusted. Probiotic samples were added to cell culture. Microscopic observations were carried out. The absorbance was measured and % cell viability calculated for different concentration of probiotic. From dose response curve the concentration of probiotic needing to inhibit cell growth by 50% was generated
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<th>Table 1. Zone of inhibition (mm)</th>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>Enteroplus</td>
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<td>Lactobacillus (NCIM2056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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Cipro-Ciprofloxacin, V44, V45, V46- Vibrio parahaemolyticus samples

**Table 2. Cytotoxicity studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>CaC0-2 IC50 µg/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>50.60501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AB</td>
<td>104.7745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LB</td>
<td>58.13223</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Percentage adhesion of different probiotic isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Probiotic isolate</th>
<th>% adhesion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EnteroPlus</td>
<td>10.25 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AvantBact</td>
<td>7.25 ± 0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Avant Bact. Caco cell line

Fig. 2. Enteroplus Caco cell line

Fig. 3. Lactobacillus sp (NCIM2056) Caco cell line

Fig. 4. Caco cell line-normal

Cytotoxicity studies of probiotic sample isolates on Caco cell line observed under inverted microscope.
Invitro Adhesion studies of commercial probiotic samples

Adhesion studies for probiotic samples were carried out. Probiotic samples were prepared on MRS agar and viability assessed. Cell lines were prepared and monolayer was developed. Adhesion studies of probiotics were carried out on monolayer. Viable adherent bacteria were trypsinized and detached bacteria were plated on MRS agar. %Adhesion was expressed as number of adhered bacteria to total bacteria used for study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**In vitro evaluation of efficacy of probiotics against Vibrio parahaemolyticus by agar diffusion method**

In well diffusion assay, probiotics showed varying zone of inhibitions against Vibrio parahaemolyticus samples (Table-1) When compared with standard ciprofloxacin used for study the zone of inhibition of probiotic samples indicated good inhibitory action against vibrio samples. Ciprofloxacin standard had a zone value of 25mm. Enteroplus showed an average zone of 13.66±0.46mm followed by Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) a value of 12.33±0.93mm and AvantBact a zone of 10.66±0.93mm.

Zone of inhibition can be attributed to production of inhibitory substance by probiotic bacteria. For example, lactic acid bacteria have ability to produce antibacterial peptides which interferes with essential bacterial enzymes or disrupts bacterial membrane permeability. Another mechanism involves probiotic ability to produce enzymes or bacteriocins which inactivates the pathogens. The study suggests certain probiotic effectiveness in minimising vibrio parahaemolyticus, which causes hepatopancreatic necrosis disease in shrimp, a water borne infection.

Fig. 5. Blank Caco-2 cell line

Fig. 6. (Enteroplus) Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG adhesion study

Fig. 7. (AvantBact) Pediococcus acidilactici adhesion study

Fig. 8. Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) adhesion study

Adhesion of probiotic sample isolates to Caco-2 cell line observed under inverted microscope (40x) after staining with glemsa stain
Fig. 9. Enteroplus (%viability)

y = -0.153x + 70.369
R² = 0.8826

Fig. 10. Avant Bact (%viability)

y = -0.1146x + 57.49
R² = 0.6937

Fig. 11. Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) (%viability)
In vitro Cytotoxicity of commercial probiotic samples by MTT Assay

Cytotoxic screening of three potential probiotics were carried out using MTT assay. The results showed (Table-2) (Fig.-1-3)(Fig.-9-11) probiotic Enteroplus and Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) had a similar IC50(µg/ml) concentration range of 50.605 and 58.132. Whereas AvantBact had an IC50(µg/ml) concentration of 104.774. Lower IC50 values suggest safety of probiotics used for treatment. Therefore, in invitro cytotoxicity assay done for all probiotics Enteroplus and Avant Bact were found to be safer probiotics in the study.

Both enteroplus and Lactobacillus sp. showed good immune reactive effects when treated against vibrio owing to good IC50 values in MTT assay12. Avant Bact having higher IC50 value has been suggested as a probiotic for shrimp in feed optimization, helping to prevent against vibrio infection13. Cytotoxicity studies showed ability of cells to survive toxic insults of probiotic samples. **In vitro Adhesion studies of commercial probiotic samples**

In present study the level of adherence to Caco cell lines for probiotic samples varied from 7.25±0.82 to 10.25±0.74 which were in adherence range reported in previous studies14. Probiotic isolates had a %level of adherence of 10.25±0.74 for Enteroplus, 7.25±0.82 for Avant Bact and 7.5±1.12 for Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056)(Fig.-6-8). Isolates with good adherence capacity serve as promising probiotic candidate and are targeted for in vivo studies in the future.

Adhesion is an ideal parameter to determine colonizing capacity15. Probiotics attached to the GIT has an influence on host health by stimulating immune system or by providing conditions for competitive exclusion of pathogenic bacteria16. Cell lines are used for adherence study as they possess functional characteristics of mature enterocytes possessing normal epithelial functions17. Lactobacillus sp. have good adherence properties to epithelial cell line18. Probiotics with good adherence are good candidates for enriching foods to harvest probiotic related benefits.

**CONCLUSION**

Given the facts mentioned above, we can suggest that commercial probiotics Enteroplus, AvantBact and Lactobacillus sp. (NCIM2056) exhibit good antimicrobial properties against pathogen Vibrio parahaemolyticus. The cytotoxicity of probiotics Enteroplus and Lactobacillus sp. assessed revealed good safety levels. The adhesion properties indicated good percentage adhesion for probiotic isolates. Therefore, the results of present study highlight the use of probiotics against pathogens, their safety and adhesion properties. These results indicate the probiotic prospects to investigate their mechanism of action, survival time and host interaction.
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