Knowledge and Perception on Prevention of Hospital Acquired Infections (HAI) among Health Care Professionals in Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital
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Abstract

Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are infections which are absent at the time of admission and would have been acquired after hospitalization. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the knowledge and perception levels on preventing HAI among health care professionals in tertiary care setup. The semi-structured tool was used for data collection. Out of 367 health care professionals, 262 participants were included in the study. Data were analyzed with descriptive and inferential statistics. The mean level of knowledge and perception score on HAI was 19.58 ± 2.70 and 51.97 ± 5.62. There was a positive correlation among the level of knowledge with level of perception on the prevention of HAI (r=0.16) at p>0.01. The knowledge and perception level was significantly associated with few demographic variables such as following protocols of hand hygiene, trainings on HAI within last year and functioning infection control department in their institution at p = 0.001. Health care professionals have adequate knowledge and perception regarding the prevention of HAI. There should be emphasized refreshment and updates on the current trends on the prevention of HAI among the health care professionals through intensive education and conducting training courses.
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INTRODUCTION

Hospitals around the world, health workers are playing a major role in treating patients with health-related problems and infections. Hospital-acquired infections (HAIs) are the major challenge across the globe as the HAIs markedly influence the quality of health care delivery, mainly by adding burden to the underdeveloped and developing countries. The main mode of transmission of HAIs is from patient to patient through health care professionals who did not follow the standard precautions. HAI is not present at the time of hospitalization of the patient but has acquired by the patient within the time frames of 48-72 hours after hospitalization, 3 days after leaving the hospital, 30 days after surgery, or 1 year after the implant.

World Health Organization (WHO) has defined the Hospital-acquired infection (HAI) or Nosocomial infection (or) Health care-associated infection, as an infection acquired in a hospital or other health care facility. HAI will lead to create a greater effect on the healthcare economy by attenuating high risk area stay, lengthening the hospital stay, and increasing the chance for the need of invasive procedures. There are many HAIs, among them the most common are urinary tract infections (UTI), primary bloodstream infections (BSI), surgical site infections (SSI), and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP).

Health-care workers and asymptomatic patients who are carrying pathogenic superbugs act as the platform for the spread of such infections to other patients who are seeking medical care. More than seven million HAI cases occur every year. Among 20 people admitted to the hospital, at least one person will be affected by HAI, which leads to more than 90,000 death cases yearly and imposes a thirty million price burden to the community. A study conducted by the WHO in more than 50 hospitals in 14 countries revealed that more than 8.7% of the patients hospitalized have been infected with hospital-acquired infections.

Retention of knowledge, attitudes and practices can be achieved through continuous education for positive impact on professionals working at all the various levels of the health care system. To reduce the occurrence of nosocomial infections, the need for continuous education and vigorous training must be considered. Therefore, the present research was done to investigate the level of knowledge, perception, and correlation on preventing HAI and also to find out the association of demographic variables with knowledge and perception on HAI among the health care workers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was a cross-sectional study conducted in Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. A semi-structured questionnaire framed by the researchers has been used to analyze the knowledge and perception of preventing nosocomial infections among health professionals in tertiary care centers. Those subjects who were
willing to provide informed consent and also those who are working in the tertiary care hospitals were involved in the study. The questionnaire was given to the study participants for assessing knowledge and perception. The participants filled up the demographic details (1 to 11) of the questionnaire. Sequentially the next knowledge questionnaire from 12 to 34 (yes or no questions) was filled. After that they have to complete the next perception questionnaire from 35 to 46, which was measured by using a 5 point Likert scale. In this study for analyzing the findings descriptive statistics have been used. The results of the study were estimated by using mean, standard deviation, and proportions.

**Interpretation of the Scores**

To assess the knowledge component, 23 questions were incorporated into the questionnaire assigning one (1) point for correct response and zero for the incorrect response. A cumulative score of ≤ 8 points was categorized as inadequate knowledge criteria; ≤ 15 points was considered as moderately adequate knowledge criteria and ≤ 23 points was considered as adequate knowledge. To assess the attitudes/perceptions 12 questions were incorporated into the survey instrument on a 5-point Likert scale. A score of ≤ 16 points was considered as negative attitudes/perceptions, ≤ 32 points was considered as neutral attitudes/perceptions, and a score of ≤ 48 points was considered as positive attitudes/perceptions.

**Compliance with ethical standards**

Approval for conducting the research was obtained from the Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute IRB (Panimalar Medical College Hospital & Research Institute IRB #1/2020/008) data collected from the study participants.

### Table 1. Demographic information of health care professionals (n = 262)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>77.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentistry</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allied Health Science</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Qualification</td>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Graduate</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Experience</td>
<td>Less than 6 months</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 6 months to 2 years</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 2 to 6 years of</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 6 to10 years</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification of working area</td>
<td>High- risk area (ICU,NICU,ER,OT)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-Operative wards</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General wards</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Clinical Laboratories</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blood bank</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMW Unit</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Followed hand</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hygene guidelines</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received education</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on HAI within last year</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>department in your institution</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Demographic information of healthcare professionals

In the present study a total of 367 healthcare professionals participated, out of which the response rate obtained was 71.4% (262). In respect of gender, majority of them were female 204 (78%), in profession majority of them from nursing 231 (88%), in educational qualification most of them were undergraduate 124 (47%), regarding professional experience most of them have more than 6-10 years of experience, regarding the specification of the working area most of them are working in high-risk area (ICU, NICU, ER, OT) table 1. About the knowledge of the healthcare professionals majority of them (93%) has sufficient knowledge of HAI. 94% knew that respiratory tract infections are the major cause for HAI and also, they knew that in-patients are the most susceptible population to HAI.

Table 2. Health care professional’s knowledge regarding the prevention of Hospital-Acquired Infections (n=262)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>No (%)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient knowledge on Hospital-acquired infection (HAI)</td>
<td>243 (92.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract infections (RTI) are the major cause of HAI?</td>
<td>245 (93.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatients are the most susceptible population to HAI?</td>
<td>246 (93.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The most important reservoir for acquiring HAI is health care professionals?</td>
<td>239 (91.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You must take sick leave when you are having Respiratory symptoms or GI symptoms to prevent patients from getting the infection from you?</td>
<td>229 (87.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquiring or transmitting infections can be prevented by wearing a glove?</td>
<td>196 (74.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing hands with soap and antiseptic solutions can decrease the risk of Hospital-acquired pathogens transmission?</td>
<td>253 (96.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the case of blood and body fluid exposure is anticipated, gloves must be used</td>
<td>237 (90.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more patients can be examined without changing the worn gloves</td>
<td>82 (31.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washing hands are unnecessary, if hands are looking clean before examining a patient</td>
<td>111 (42.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider all patients as potentially contagious?</td>
<td>202 (77.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogens are transmitted from one patient to other through hospital staffs due to inadequate hand washing.</td>
<td>233 (88.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masks to be provided to the patients with a cough during transfer/transport out of room for other investigations?</td>
<td>239 (91.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having experience in handling splash exposure of the patient’s blood, vomit, or other body fluids?</td>
<td>213 (81.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The protocol that must be followed immediately after exposure to body fluid of seropositive cases?</td>
<td>235 (89.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily used items like pen, mobile phone, apron, uniform acts as a carrier for hospital-acquired infections?</td>
<td>237 (90.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you wash your duty uniform or apron daily?</td>
<td>241 (92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you clean your stethoscope after examining each patient with an antiseptic (e.g. 70 % alcohol)?</td>
<td>211 (80.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will you take off your stethoscope and apron while going to the canteen inside the hospital after finishing your daily ward rounds?</td>
<td>179 (68.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There must be proper spacing (1.5 meters) between beds with a curtain between beds for infected/colonized patients in the ward?</td>
<td>241 (92.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT culture and air sampling methods are done to monitor the presence or spreading of nosocomial infections within the hospital set up</td>
<td>242 (92.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infectious agents transmitted between patients by visitors may have negative impacts on patient outcomes?</td>
<td>229 (87.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent hand washing and avoidance of touching mouth and nose can effectively protect you, also prevents spreading of infectious microorganism during this outbreak seasons.</td>
<td>248 (94.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
suspicious population to HAI. 91% agreed that health care workers are the main source of HAI. 87% of them knew that sick leave to be availed if they have infected with respiratory symptoms or gastrointestinal symptoms. 75% know that wearing gloves will prevent transmission of infection. 97% felt that the transmission of HAI can be reduced by washing hands with antiseptic. 67% of them accepted that the gloves must be changed while delivering health care to multiple patients. 42% (111 out of 262) felt that no need of washing hands before patient contact. 77% (202 out of 262) considered all patients as potentially contagious. 91% (239 out of 262) knew that masks to be provided to the patients with a cough during transfer out of room for other investigations. 81% (213 out of 262) experienced splash exposure of the patient’s blood, vomit or other body fluids. 90% (235 out of 262) knew about the protocol for exposure to body fluid of seropositive cases. 91% (237 out of 262) were aware that daily used items like pen, mobile phone, apron, uniform acts as a carrier for hospital-acquired infections. 97% felt that the transmission of infection can be reduced by washing hands with antiseptic if they have infected with respiratory symptoms.

Table 3. Health care professional’s perception regarding the prevention of Hospital- Acquired Infections (n=262)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither agree nor disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree Strongly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient’s outcome will be fatalistic due to HAI</td>
<td>107 (40.8)</td>
<td>104 (39.7)</td>
<td>24 (9.2)</td>
<td>21 (8.0)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-acquired infections can be prevented through effective hand hygiene</td>
<td>153 (58.4)</td>
<td>95 (36.3)</td>
<td>8 (3.1)</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The reason for HAIs are inadequate hand hygiene and improper removal of PPE</td>
<td>140 (53.4)</td>
<td>97 (37.0)</td>
<td>13 (5.0)</td>
<td>11 (4.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special precautions to be taken for HBV,HCV, HIV positive patients</td>
<td>187 (71.4)</td>
<td>61 (23.3)</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient living with HIV Antigen must be treated in an isolation ward</td>
<td>114 (43.5)</td>
<td>83 (31.7)</td>
<td>18 (6.9)</td>
<td>39 (14.9)</td>
<td>8 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccination for health care worker is a must</td>
<td>203 (77.5)</td>
<td>48 (18.3)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPE is not needed when a health care worker is vaccinated</td>
<td>26 (9.9)</td>
<td>42 (16.0)</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
<td>95 (36.3)</td>
<td>90 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history and antibiotic usage history are important for treating multi-drug resistant microorganisms</td>
<td>133 (50.8)</td>
<td>108 (41.2)</td>
<td>13 (5.0)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used needles should not be recapped</td>
<td>157 (59.9)</td>
<td>61 (23.3)</td>
<td>12 (4.6)</td>
<td>14 (5.3)</td>
<td>18 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that performing hand hygiene is less likely to transmit infections to patients</td>
<td>122 (46.6)</td>
<td>101 (38.5)</td>
<td>17 (6.5)</td>
<td>13 (5.0)</td>
<td>9 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The valuable part of the Health care worker’s role is to prevent HAI</td>
<td>172 (65.6)</td>
<td>77 (29.4)</td>
<td>8 (3.1)</td>
<td>4 (1.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood of adhering and following the WHO guidelines practices, During and even after this COVID -19 outbreak.</td>
<td>167 (63.7)</td>
<td>81 (30.9)</td>
<td>8 (3.1)</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acquired infections. 71% strongly agreed that special precautions to be taken for HBV, HCV, HIV positive patients. 44% strongly agreed that patients living with HIV Antigen must be treated in an isolation ward. 78% strongly agreed that vaccination for health care workers is a must. 35% strongly disagreed that PPE is not needed when a health care worker is vaccinated. 51% strongly agreed that medical history and antibiotic usage history are important for treating multi-drug resistance microorganisms. 60% strongly agreed that used needles should not be recapped. 47% strongly agreed that performing hand hygiene is less likely to transmit infections to patients.

The above table 4 portrays the knowledge and perception of healthcare professionals regarding the prevention of HAIs: Adequate knowledge was seen in 91% of the study participants. 95% of them had a high level of perception and 5% of them had a moderate level of perception. This present study participant’s level of knowledge on the prevention of HAI was 19.6 ± 2.7. The level of perception of the prevention of HAI was 52 ± 5.6 with a lowest being 33 and the highest being 60.

Table 6 showed positive fair correlation between the level of knowledge and perception score on prevention of HAI with the r-value of 1 at P=0.006. Below table 7 described the association of knowledge with the selected demographic variables, were significant at P=0.001.

**DISCUSSION**

Health-care associated infection (HAI) levels have reduced with the development of multifaceted infection prevention and control programs.\(^7\) Updating the knowledge on current guidelines and protocols on preventing HAI will help the health care professionals to apply them in day to day practices.\(^8\)
In this study, 87% of the participants answered positively about infectious agents transmitted to patients by the visitors may have negative impacts on patient outcomes. 90% of the participants were aware that daily used items like pen, mobile phone, apron, and uniform will act as a carrier for Hospital-Acquired Infections. Similar level of awareness was revealed in other studies conducted by Khan et al9,10. The study results revealed that there was adequate knowledge (91%) on preventing HAIs among the participants of this study. Our findings were supported by various other studies which showed that more than 90% of health care professionals have exquisite knowledge on infection prevention11-13. Similarly, the research work of Allah-Bakhshian et al, had above average results about the knowledge of the professionals accessed in his study14. The results of the present study was not persistent with the results of the studies conducted to understand the level of knowledge on HAI, which revealed that more than 90% of the workers had inadequate knowledge about HAI15-17.

Many factors have an impact on the result of the study such as individual characteristics, education, and the number of practical training sessions attended. Researchers reported that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Level of knowledge on hospital-acquired infection</th>
<th>Pearson chi-square value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (8.3)</td>
<td>187(91.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6(10.3%)</td>
<td>52(89.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profession</td>
<td>Medicine</td>
<td>2 (16.7%)</td>
<td>10 (83.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentistry</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>19 (8.2%)</td>
<td>212 (91.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allied Health Science</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pharmacy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Qualification</td>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>5 (7.5%)</td>
<td>62 (92.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>11 (8.9%)</td>
<td>113 (91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post Graduate</td>
<td>7 (10.4%)</td>
<td>60 (89.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doctorate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Experience</td>
<td>Less than 6 months</td>
<td>8 (12.5%)</td>
<td>56 (87.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 6 months to 2 years</td>
<td>5(8.8)</td>
<td>52 (91.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 2 to 6 years</td>
<td>8 (9.6)</td>
<td>75 (90.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 6 to 10 years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
<td>40 (95.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification of working area</td>
<td>High-risk area (ICU,NICU, ER,OT)</td>
<td>9 (7.5)</td>
<td>111 (92.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-Operative wards</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
<td>24 (92.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General wards</td>
<td>8 (8.3%)</td>
<td>88 (91.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Clinical Laboratories</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blood bank</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
<td>3 (60%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BMW Unit</td>
<td>1 (10%)</td>
<td>9 (90%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you followed hand hygiene guidelines?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20 (7.8%)</td>
<td>238 (92.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (75%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you received education on HAI within last year?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16 (7%)</td>
<td>212 (93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7 (20.6%)</td>
<td>27 (79.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there an infection control department in your institution?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20 (7.9%)</td>
<td>234 (92.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 (37.5%)</td>
<td>5 (62.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S -significant at the level of 0.01
the training of the healthcare professionals will have a positive impact on healthcare personnel by improving the knowledge and emphasized the need for regular mandatory educational training programs in every health care organization.\textsuperscript{18} Implementation of continuous training programs and knowledge improvement have helped in reducing the incidence of patients progressing with HAI\textsuperscript{19}.

A study conducted in Tamilnadu on using specific indicators for preventing HAI revealed that the infection control intervention should not only involve training and educating the health professionals but also it should address all the other factors like infrastructure, environmental, and personnel\textsuperscript{20}. The major barriers of preventing HAI must be recognized by the administration. The infection control prevention programs must be supported through adequate personal, financial, and material supplies. Epidemiological surveillance of HAI is essential to understand the nature and extent of the problem, which also supports the health care workers in treating infections and helps in planning the prevention strategies to deliver a high quality-health care in any of the hospitals\textsuperscript{20}.

In relation to perception (as per Table-3), the majority of them strongly agreed that HAI has a negative impact on the patient’s outcome. 53% of the participants strongly agreed that improper hand hygiene and improper use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) is the reason for hospital-acquired infections. 71% of the participants strongly agreed that special precautions to be taken for HBV, HCV, HIV positive patients. 78% of the study subjects strongly agreed that vaccination for health care workers is a must. 51% of the participants strongly agreed that medical history and antibiotic usage history are important for treating multi-drug resistant microorganisms. 60% of the participants strongly agreed that used needles should not be recapped. The overall perception mean of this study was 52 ± 5.6, which was in line with the findings reported in the public health literature\textsuperscript{21}. In our present investigation, the level of knowledge and perception on preventing hospital-acquired infections have a strong and positive fair correlation with the r-value of 1 at $P=0.006$. In support of our findings the positive correlation of working versus the knowledge & perception of the HAIs was documented\textsuperscript{18,22,23}.

In the present study, there exists an association between knowledge level versus selected demographic variables such as following protocol of hand hygiene, the training received on HAI within last year, and the presence of an infection control department in their institution at $P=0.001$. This result was similar to a study conducted in Chennai\textsuperscript{15}. Out of the 262 study participants, 13% of health professionals have not undergone education on the prevention of HAIs which is in similarity with the observations of the study conducted by Jain \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{24} 91% of the study participants accepted that health care professionals are the major source for transmission of HAI. However, special priority should be given to interactive educational training that focus on infection control prevention in hospital settings. By conducting a continuous training program, it can be used as an inclusive model for enhancing the knowledge and also will help to resolve the misapprehension towards infectious diseases\textsuperscript{25}.

**CONCLUSION**

Overall, health care professionals in this study had adequate knowledge and perceptions with respect to the prevention of HAIs. Continuous education and vigorous training on HAIs will have a positive effect on improving the knowledge and perception at all levels of tertiary care health workers to prevent infections. In order to reduce the HAIs, policymakers, and the infection control team must take necessary combined efforts to implement and improve the required interventional strategies. In the future, researchers can consider stronger observational study designs to validate the knowledge, perception, practice, and attitude of the professionals working at the various levels of health care delivery systems, towards the prevention of HAIs.
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