Seed Quality as Influence by Plant Growth Regulators in Bitter Gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.)

Anjita J. Hirpara, M.A. Vaddoria and Amit M. Polara

Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh - 362001, India.

(Received: 10 May 2015; accepted: 20 July 2015)

An experiment was conducted to find out the effect of growth regulators and stages of spray on seed yield and quality in bitter gourd variety phule green gold during summer-2013. Application of GA_3 50 ppm exerted significantly the highest seed yield per plant (10.38g), which was at par with GA_3 25 ppm (S_1) (10.24), ethrel 250 ppm (S_3) (10.04) and ethrel 500 ppm (S_4) (10.15). The maximum germination percentage (95.93 %), root length (14.55 cm) shoot length (13.96 cm) vigour index-I (1234)and vigour index-II (5856) were recorded with GA3 50 ppm.

Key words: Bitter gourd, Gibberrelic Acid, Ethrel, Naphthalic Acetic Acid (NAA), Cycocel (CCC), Germination, Vigour index

Bitter gourd is one of the most importance cucurbitaceous vegetable crop widely cultivated in Gujarat. Bitter gourd is a different nature's bountiful gifts to mankind which not only have fabulous digestional properties, it is a store house of remedies for many common ailment. Fruits, leaves and even the roots of this vegetable have been used in ayurveda for number of diseases. It has immense medicinal properties due to the presence of beneficial phytochemicals, which are known to have antibiotic, antimutagenic, antioxidant, antiviral, antidiabetic and immune enhancing properties. A compound known as 'charantin', present in the bittergourd is used in the treatment of diabetes in reducing blood sugar level.

Cucurbits share about 5.6 per cent of the total vegetable production of India and were

Growth regulators enhance the number of female flowers and fruits that lead to increase the seed yield and quality in bitter gourd. Though a number of improved varieties are available in India, an efficient seed production package is lacking aimed at manipulating the sex ratio for enhance seed yield and quality. Considering all these, the present investigation were under taken to increase the seed yield and quality in phule green gold during summer-2013.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field trial was conducted at college of agricultural, J.A.U., Junagadh, Gujarat, India during summer-2013 with three replication in split plot design. The seed were sown in field with 2m x 1m.

cultivated on about 9,205 thousand hectares with production of 1,62,187 million tones (Anonymous, 2013). In Gujarat area under cucurbits is 0.44 lakh hectares with production of 5.25 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2013).

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be addressed. E-mail.: anjitahirpara311@gmail.com

The plant protection measures were adopted as and when required. Five plants from each treatment were select randomly for recording observation. Four growth regulators each with two concentrations viz., Gibberrelic Acid, Ethrel Naphthalic Acetic Acid (NAA) and Cycocel (CCC) were used for foliar application with water spray and control (no spray) makes total 10 treatment viz., $GA_325 \text{ ppm}(S_1)$, $GA_350 \text{ ppm}(S_2)$, Ethrel 250 ppm (S_3), Ethrel 500 ppm (S_4), NAA 50 ppm (S_5), NAA 100 ppm (S_6), CCC 100 ppm (S_7), CCC 200 ppm (S_8), water spray (S_9), control (no spray) (S_{10}).

Three sprays were given at Two to four leaf stage (M₁), flower initiation stage (M₂), fifteen days after flower initiation stage (M₂). Precaution was taken to prevent drifting of spray solutions from one treatment plot to other. Fruits were harvested as and when they turn orange red colour and seeds were extracted manually. The observations on the seed yield per plant, germination percentage, root length, shoot length, seedling dry weight and vigour index were recorded the germination test was conducted as per ISTA procedure by adopting rolled towel method. Seedling dry weight was calculated based upon the five normal seedlings which were selected at random and dried in hot air oven at 75°C for 24 hours. The vigour index was calculated by adopting the methods suggested by Abdul-Baki and Anderson and expressed in whole numbers for each treatment by using the following formula:

 $\label{eq:Vigour} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Vigour index I} = \mbox{Germination (\%)} \times \mbox{Seedling length (cm)} \\ \mbox{Vigour index II=Germination (\%)} \times \mbox{Seedling dry weight (mg)} \\ \end{array}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of growth regulators

Growth regulators exhibited significant influence on seed yield per plant (Table 1). The highest seed yield per plant (10:38 g) was obtained in GA_3 50 ppm, which was at par with GA_3 25 ppm (S_1) (10.24), ethrel 250 ppm (S_3) (10.04) and ethrel 500 ppm (S_4) (10.15), while control recorded the lowest seed yield (8.70 g). Increase in seed yield may be due to the maximum number of female flower and fruits per vine and growth regulators brings certain changes in metabolism during fruit and seed development due to which there would be a greater accumulation of food reserves which resulted in higher seed yield. The beneficial effect of growth

regulators were also reported by Gedam *et al.* (1998) and Arvindkumar *et al.* (2012) in bitter gourd who reported that boron at 4 ppm produced maximum seed yield. Marbhal *et al.* (2005) and Shantappa *et al.* (2007) were also observed similar effects of NAA at 50 ppm in bitter gourd; Rasmi (2003) with NAA 100 ppm in bottle gourd; Hilli *et al.* (2010) with NAA 100 ppm in ridge gourd; Adirai *et al.* (2001) with etheral 250 ppm and Shirzad *et al.* (2012) with GA₃ @ 25 ppm in pumpkin.

Growth regulators showed significant influence on germination percentage, root length, shoot length, seedling dry weight and vigour index (Table 1). Application of NAA 100 ppm recorded the higher germination percentage (95.93 %), root length (14.55 cm), shoot length (13.96 cm), vigour index – I (1234) and vigour index – II (5856) compared to all other growth regulator treatments. The control recorded the lowest germination percentage (82.39 %), shoot length (9.07 cm), root fresh weight (0.079 g), vigour index – I (1234) and vigour index – II (5856). Increasing in germination percentage may be due to adequate supplied the food reserves in adequate quantity to resume embryo growth and release enzymes responsible for degradation of macromolecules into micromolecules to be utilized in growth promoting processes. Increase in seed quality attributes in NAA treatment may be due certain changes in metabolism, which helps in better seed development, greater accumulation of food reserves. Similar result were recorded by Shantappa et al. (2007), Gedam et al. (1996) and Arvindkumar et al. (2012) who reported higher germination percentage with NAA at 50 or 100 ppm in bitter gourd; Hilli et al. (2008) and Hilli et al. (2010) with NAA at 100 ppm in ridge gourd; Rashmi (2003) in bottle gourd and Prasad et al. (2008) in pumpkin.

Effect of stages of spray

Stages of spray showed non significant influence on seed yield. Among the stages of spray, the growth regulators sprayed at fifteen days after flower initiation stage (M_3) recorded higher seed yield (9.83 g) compare to flower initiation stage (M_2) (9.81 g) and Two to four leaf stage (M_1) (9.64 g). The highest seed yield as well as the highest seed yield per vine associated with M_3 stage. These beneficial effects of exogenous application of growth regulators at fifteen days after flower initiation stages was also reported in Rashmi (2003)

Table 1. Effect of plant growth regulators and stages of spray on seed yield and quality character in bitter gourd.

CGA, 25 ppm (A) (A) <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>														
(S) 11.56 9.58 9.57 10.24 99.00 89.02 88.73 92.25 14.95 14.24 14.22 11.05 (S) 11.83 9.57 10.24 89.00 89.02 88.73 92.03 14.95 14.24 14.22 11.05 (S) 8.83 92.71 10.15 10.1	Plant Growth		See	d yield/pl	ant (g)		Ger	mination F	ercentage			Roc	ot length (c	m)
(\$) 11.56 9.58 9.57 10.24 99.00 89.02 88.73 91.25 14.95 14.24 14.22 14.05 10.08 89.05 11.0 88.73 11.1 11.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Regulators		(\mathbf{M}_1)	(M ₂)	(M_3)	Mean	(\mathbf{M}_1)	(M ₂)	(M_3)	Mean	(M_1)	(M_2)	(M_3)	Mean
(\$) 11.83 9.78 9.52 10.38 9.967 91.03 88.39 93.03 15.02 14.43 14.19 11.10 10.15 10.04 84.46 96.06 92.33 13.17 14.94 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 10.15 10.04 84.46 96.06 92.33 13.17 14.94 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 14.64 16.65 16.92 9.946 9.945 9.450 9.450 13.47 14.44 14.64 14.01 14	GA, 25 ppm	(S ₁)	11.56	9.58	9.57	10.24	99.00	89.02	88.73	92.25	14.95	14.24	14.22	14.47
Mathematics Mat	GA, 50 ppm	(S_j)	11.83	87.6	9.52	10.38	29.66	91.03	88.39	93.03	15.02	14.43	14.19	14.55
Signature Sign	Ethrel 250 ppm	(S_3)	8.87	11.11	10.15	10.04	84.40	99.13	94.06	92.53	13.17	14.94	14.44	14.18
Charlest Color Char	Ethrel 500 ppm	$(\mathbf{S}_{\underline{s}})$	10.88	9.21	10.38	10.15	19.76	84.46	90.96	92.73	14.50	13.47	14.64	14.20
Charles Char	NAA 50 ppm	(S,	10.45	9.44	9.74	88.6	28.96	91.99	94.93	94.60	14.30	14.10	14.40	14.27
Color Colo	NAA 100 ppm	(S _c)	8.56	10.92	9.90	9.79	91.53	99.46	96.80	95.93	13.34	14.98	14.70	14.34
Color Colo	CCC 100 ppm	(S_7)	9.94	80.6	9.85	9.63	94.46	83.72	91.39	98.68	13.38	12.60	13.37	13.12
Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature Signature States Stat	CCC 200 ppm	(S _s)	8.47	10.31	10.67	9.82	80.08	95.13	95.60	90.26	11.94	13.77	12.47	12.72
Spray) (S _i) 7.79 8.90 9.40 8.7.3 83.39 88.40 82.39 8.36 9.46 10.08 9.3 Stages (M) Spray (S) M.x.S Stages (M) Spray (S) M.x.S Stages (M) Spray (S) R.2 1.95 1.04 0.18 0.03 9.44 1.07 0.14 0.18 0.03 0.73 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.14 0.19 0.14 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.14 0.18	Water spray	(S _o)	8.01	9.78	6.07	8.95	77.40	92.06	85.06	84.84	8.56	10.33	9.63	9.50
Stages (M) Spray (S) Na. Stages (M) Spray (S) Max Stages (M) M	Control (No spray)	(S_{10})	7.79	8.90	9.40	8.70	75.39	83.39	88.40	82.39	8.36	9.46	10.08	9.30
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mean	2	9.64	9.81	9.83		89.64	90.94	91.94		12.75	13.23	13.21	
Color Colo			Stages (M)	Spray	_	MxS	Stages (M		y (S)	$M \times S$	Stages	_	ray (S)	$M \times S$
Shoot length (cm) S.87 4.41 3.71 5.70 4.23 NS 0.52 NS 0.52	S.Em.±		0.14	0.1		0.33	0.73		12	1.95	0.14		0.18	0.32
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C.D. at 5%		NS	0.5		0.94	NS	3.	61	5.52	NS		0.52	06.0
	C.V.%		7.81	5.8	7	4.41	3.71		70	4.23				
	Plant Growth	Sho	oot length (c	m)			Vigour inc	lex - I			Vigou	r index - II		
(S ₁) 14.35 13.66 13.64 13.88 1901 1831 1831 1855 10932 9730 9932 (S ₂) 14.44 13.84 13.61 13.96 19.28 1871 1818 1872 11100 10033 9700 mm (S ₃) 12.59 14.36 13.60 13.62 1785 1785 1775 1748 1036 9998 9767 an (S ₃) 13.72 13.82 13.69 1766 1799 1772 1756 10268 9599 10134 mm (S ₃) 12.76 14.38 14.12 13.75 1676 1866 1812 1785 9267 10665 10333 nn (S ₃) 12.78 12.80 12.02 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 7731 8700 spray) (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.24 12.74 15.85 12.85 12.85 13.85 10.10 9.40 9.27 11.88 13.15 12.45 13.15 12.45 13.15 13.15 12.45 13.15 13.15 12.45 13.15 13.15 12.45 13.15 13.15 12.45 13.15	Regulators		(M_1)	(M_2)	(M_3)	Mean	(M_1)	(M_2)	(M_3)	Mean	(M_1)	(M_2)	(M_3)	Mean
(S ₂) 14.44 13.84 13.61 13.96 1928 1871 1818 1872 11100 10033 9700 m (S ₃) 12.59 14.36 13.86 13.60 1628 1798 1755 1727 8800 9998 9767 m (S ₄) 13.92 12.89 14.06 13.62 1785 1685 1775 1748 10367 8865 9967 m (S ₅) 13.72 13.52 13.82 13.69 1756 1779 1772 1756 10268 9599 10134 m (S ₅) 12.76 14.38 14.12 13.75 1676 1866 1812 1785 9267 10665 10333 n (S ₅) 12.80 12.02 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 7731 8700 spray) (S ₅) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 spray) (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 12.48 1315 12.44 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1618 1315 12.44 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1618 1315 12.44 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1618 1315 12.44 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1618 1315 12.44 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.24 12.72 12.70 1618 1315 12.44 5100 276.73 NX Stages (M) Spray (S) NX Stages (M) Spray	GA, 25 ppm	(S_1)	14.35	13.66	13.64	13.88	1901	1831	1831	1855	10932	9730	9932	10198
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GA, 50 ppm	(S_j)	14.44	13.84	13.61	13.96	1928	1871	1818	1872	11100	10033	9200	10278
500 ppm (S ₄) 13.92 12.89 14.06 13.62 1785 1685 1775 1748 10367 8865 9967 50 ppm (S ₅) 13.72 13.82 13.69 1756 1739 1772 176 10688 9599 10134 100 ppm (S ₆) 12.76 14.38 14.12 13.75 1676 1866 1812 1785 9597 1038 1033 100 ppm (S ₆) 12.20 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 1033 200 ppm (S ₈) 11.34 13.19 11.89 12.14 1505 1688 1551 1581 7365 896 8933 spray (S ₉) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1345 1315 124 5100 5900 6567 31 (No spray) (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 12.76 10.8 10	Ethrel 250 ppm	(S_3)	12.59	14.36	13.86	13.60	1628	1798	1755	1727	8800	8666	1916	9522
50 ppm (S _s) 13.72 13.52 13.82 13.69 1756 1739 1772 1756 10268 9599 10134 100 ppm (S _s) 12.76 14.38 14.12 13.75 1676 1866 1812 1785 9267 10665 10333 100 ppm (S _s) 12.80 12.02 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 7731 8700 ppm (S _s) 11.34 13.19 11.89 12.14 1505 1688 1551 1581 7365 8696 8933 spray (S _s) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 10.00 ppm (S _s) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 8100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 1654 1654 1658 1658 1658 1658 1658 1658 1658 1658	Ethrel 500 ppm	$(\mathbf{S}_{\downarrow})$	13.92	12.89	14.06	13.62	1785	1685	1775	1748	10367	8865	<i>L</i> 966	9733
100 ppm (S _o) 12.76 14.38 14.12 13.75 1676 1866 1812 1785 9267 10665 10333 100 ppm (S _o) 12.80 12.02 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 7731 8700 200 ppm (S _o) 11.34 13.19 11.89 12.14 1505 1688 1551 1581 7365 8696 8933 spray (S _o) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 spray (S _o) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 10 No Strages (M) Spray (S) MxS Strages (M) Spray (S) MxS Strages (M) Spray (S) N 14 18 31.65 107 160 276.73 1 15 0.40 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41	NAA 50 ppm	(S_5)	13.72	13.52	13.82	13.69	1756	1739	1772	1756	10268	6266	10134	10000
100 ppm (S ₇) 12.80 12.02 12.79 12.54 1645 1571 1648 1621 8967 7731 8700 200 ppm (S ₈) 11.34 13.19 11.89 12.14 1505 1688 1551 1581 7365 8696 8933 spray (S ₉) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 6067 813 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 6067 6001 813 0.13 0.18 0.32 14 18 31.65 107 160 276.73 10.10 15.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41	NAA 100 ppm	(S _c)	12.76	14.38	14.12	13.75	1676	1866	1812	1785	9267	10665	10333	10088
200 ppm (S ₈) 11.34 13.19 11.89 12.14 1505 1688 1551 1581 7365 8696 8933 spray (S ₉) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 spray (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 6067 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 85 9010 Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) N x S Stages	CCC 100 ppm	(S_7)	12.80	12.02	12.79	12.54	1645	1571	1648	1621	8967	7731	8200	8466
spray (S ₉) 8.33 10.10 9.40 9.27 1158 1335 1265 1252 5300 6767 6067 61 (No spray) (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 1654 6067 6067 6067 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1613 1654 1654 1657 1657 1657 12.70 12.70 1612 1613 1654 1657 1613 0.18 0.32 14 18 31.65 107 160 276.73 14 5% NS 0.52 0.90 NS 52 89.80 NS 453 785.06 5.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41	CCC 200 ppm	(S _s)	11.34	13.19	11.89	12.14	1505	1688	1551	1581	7365	9698	8933	8331
Los Spray) (S ₁₀) 8.13 9.23 9.85 9.07 1138 1248 1315 1234 5100 5900 6567 12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 1654 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 1654 16.13 0.18 0.32 14 18 31.65 107 160 276.73 14.5% NS 0.52 0.90 NS 52 89.80 NS 453 785.06 5.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41	Water spray	(S ₀)	8.33	10.10	9.40	9.27	1158	1335	1265	1252	5300	<i>L</i> 9 <i>L</i> 9	<i>L</i> 909	6044
12.24 12.72 12.70 1612 1663 1654 9010 Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M)	Control (No spray)	(\mathbf{S}_{10})	8.13	9.23	9.85	6.07	1138	1248	1315		5100	2900	2929	5856
± 9010 Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) M x S Stages (M) Spray (S) ± 0.13 0.18 0.32 14 18 31.65 107 160 276.73 NS 0.52 0.90 NS 52 89.80 NS 453 785.06 5.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41					12.24	12.72	12.70		161		63 1	654		8746
tt 5% NS 0.52 0.90 NS 52 89.80 NS 453 5.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65 5.41	8798 S.Em.±		9010 0.13	0.18	Stages (M) 0.32	Spray (S) 14	M x S 18	Stages (i 31.65	_				oray (S)	MxS
5.70 4.40 4.50 3.34 6.65	C.D. at 5%		NS	0.52	06.0	NS	52	89.80				35.06		
	C.V.%		5.70	4.40	4.50	3.34	9.65	5.41						

in bottle gourd.

Stages of spray showed non significant influence on germination percentage, root length, shoot length, and vigour index-I and vigour index-II. The maximum germination per cent (91.94 %) was noticed in growth regulators sprayed at fifteen days after flower initiation stage (M₂) compare to M_a and M_b stage. The growth regulators sprayed at two to four leaf stage recorded minimum germination percent (89.64 %). The increase in germination at M³ stage may be due to greater accumulation of food reserves in seed because of additional supply of growth regulators especially at fruit development stage. The better seed quality in M₂ stage may be due to certain change in metabolism, which helps in better seed development and greater accumulation of food reserves, similar result was also reported in bitter gourd by Gedam et al. (1996). While the maximum root length (13.23), shoot length (12.72), vigour index-I (16.63), and vigour index-II (9010) were noticed in growth regulators sprayed at flowering stage M₂ compared to M₂ and M₂ stage.

Interaction effect of growth regulators and stages of spray

Interaction effect of growth regulators and stages of spray showed a significant influence on seed yield. The highest seed yield (11.83 g) was recorded with GA₃ 50 ppm at two to four leaf stage. Similar result was reported in bottle gourd by Arora *et al.* (1985).

CONCLUSION

From the present study, it is concluded that GA₃ 50 ppm sprayed at two to four leaf stages were effective for increasing seed yield. The GA₃ 50 ppm was effective in improving the seed quality parameters of bitter gourd Cv. Phule green gold.

REFERENCES

- Adirai, N.; Vijayakumar, A. and Natarajan, K., Effect of nitrogen application and ethrel spray on seed yield and quality of pumpkin cv. CO 1. Orissa J. Horti. 2001; 29(2): 28-30.
- 2. Arora, S. K.; Pandita, M. L. and Baswana, K. S., Effect of growth regulators on flowering and

- fruiting of bottle gourd. Haryana Agric. Uni. *J* .*Res.*, 1985; **15**(1): 36-41.
- Arvindkumar, P. R., Vasudevan, S. N., Patil, M. G. and Rajrajeshwari, C., Influence of NAA, triacontanol and boron spray on seed yield and quality of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) cv. Pusa Visesh. The *Asian J. of Horti*, 2012; 7: 36-39.
- 4. Gedam, V. M., Patil, R. B., Suryawanshi, Y. B. and Mate, S. N., Seed quality as influenced by plant growth regulators in bitter gourd. *Seed Research*, 1996; **24(2):**158-159.
- Gedam, V. M., Patil, R. B.; Suryawanshi, Y. B. and Mate, S. N., Effect of plant growth regulators and boron on flowering, fruiting and seed yield in bitter gourd. Seed Research, 1998; 26(1): 97-100.
- 6. Hilli, J. S., Vyakaranahal, B. S. and Biradar, D. P., Influence of growth regulators and stages of spray on seed quality of ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb). *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, 2008; **21(2)**: (194-197).
- 7. Hilli, J. S., Vyakarnahal, B. S., Biradar, D. P. and Hunje, Ravi., Effect of growth regulators and stages of spray on growth, fruit set and seed yield of ridge gourd. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 2010; **23** (2):239 242.
- 8. Marbhal, S. K., Musmade, A. M., Kashid, N. V., Kamble, M. S. and Kamthe, P. V., Effect of growth regulators and picking sequence on seed yield of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) cv. Phule Green Gold. *J. Maharastra Agril. Uni.* 2005; **32** (2): 306-308.
- 9. Prasad, C. T. M.; Sajjan, A. S.; Vyakaranahal, B. S.; Nadaf, H. L. and Hosamani, R. M., Influence of nutrition and growth regulators on fruit, seed yield and quality of pumpkin cv. Arka Chandan. *Karnataka J. Agril. Sci.* 2008; **21**(1): 115-117.
- Rashmi, R. N., Effect of nutrients and growth regulators on seed yield and quality of bottle gourd cv. Arka Bahar. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, (Unpublished) Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India), 2003.
- 11. Shantappa, T. Shekhargouda, M., Merwade, M. N. and Deshpande, V. K., Seed yield and quality as influenced by plant growth regulators and stage of spray in bitter gourd. Seed Research, 2007; **35b** (1):11-16.
- 12. Shirzad Sure, Hosein Arooie and Majid Azizi., Influence of plant growth regulators (PGRS) and planting method on growth and yield in oil pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* var. styriaca). *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 2012; **4(2):**101-107.